1			** E-filed November 8, 2011 **
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	NOT FOR CITATION		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	INNOVATIVE AUTOMAT	ION, LLC,	No. C11-03409 HRL
12	Plaintiff, v.		ORDER RELATING CASES AND SETTING CASE MANAGEMENT
13	PRIMERA TECHNOLOGY	INC	CONFERENCE
14	Defendants.	, 110.,	[Re: Docket No. 28]
15		/	
16	At the direction of this court, the parties filed a joint Motion for Administrative Relief to		
17	Consider Whether Cases Should be Related (Civil L.R. 3-12). The time for filing an opposition or		
18	statement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to the earliest filed case, I find that the more		
19	recently filed cases listed below are related to the above-captioned case assigned to me and such		
20	cases—to the extent that they are not already assigned to me—shall be reassigned to me.		
21	C11-03410 HRL	<b>Innovative Automatio</b>	on LLC v. Mediatechnics Systems, Inc. et al.
22	C11-03411 CRB	<b>Innovative Automatio</b>	on LLC v. DisCopyLabs
23	C11-03412 HRL	Innovative Automatio	on LLC v. Acutrack, Inc.
24	C11-04224 HRL	Rimage Corp. v. Inno	vative Automation LLC
25			
26	Counsel are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear my initials		
27	immediately after the case number. All previously set case management dates are VACATED. This		
28	court will hold a Case Management Conference in ALL five cases on November 30, 2011 at 1:30		

<b>p.m.</b> in Courtroom 2, Fifth Floor, Robert F. Peckham Federal Courthouse, San Jose, CA. All				
counsel in all cases will meet and confer and cooperate in the preparation of a single Joint Case				
Management Conference Statement to be filed by November 22, 2011. Counsel shall comply with				
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), Civil L. R. 16-8 and 16-9, and Patent L. R. 3-1 through 3-6. The court also				
seeks input from counsel on how to most efficiently manage these related cases, avoiding needless				
duplication of effort while assuring the legitimate interests of each party are appropriately observed				
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Λ()			
Dated: November 8, 2011				
	HOWARD R. LOYD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the date stated below, I lodged a copy of this order with each judicial officer and I mailed a copy to each counsel of record or *pro se* party in the cases listed above.

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

DATED: November 8, 2011 By: /s/ Angela Markle

**Chambers of Magistrate Judge Lloyd** 

## 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## C11-03409 HRL Notice will be electronically mailed to: 1 adam@gutridesafier.com 2 Adam Gutride Seth Adam Safier seth@gutridesafier.com 3 Todd Michael Kennedy todd@gutridesafier.com Victoria L.H. Booke vbooke@gmail.com 4 Martin R. Glick mglick@howardrice.com **Edward Andrew Bayley** ebayley@howardrice.com 5 Jeffrey M. Fisher ifisher@fbm.com Julie B. Wahlstrand jwahlstrand@fbm.com 6 chorner@hornersinger.com Clifford R. Horner sbrennan@wnlaw.com Sterling Arthur Brennan 7 Thomas Dubberke tdubberke@beesontayer.com Tyson Keith Hottinger thottinger@wnlaw.com 8 Charles J. Veverka cveverka@wnlaw.com sbrennan@wnlaw.com Sterling Arthur Brennan 9 Notice will be provided by mail to: 10 Thomas E. Cherry 11 Coptech Digital, Inc 100 Cimmings Park 12 Woburn, MA 01801 13 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program. 14 15 16 17