

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARTIN GARDNER REIFFIN,
Plaintiff,
v.
MICROSOFT CORP. ET AL.,
Defendants.

No. C 11-03505 CRB

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In combination with his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants Gates and Ballmer, Plaintiff filed a “Request for Reconsideration of Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Filed by Defendant Microsoft Corp.” See dkt. 62. Plaintiff’s filing is procedurally improper for several reasons. Most important, Local Rule 7-9(a) involves motions for reconsideration of interlocutory orders “[b]efore the entry of a judgment.” The Court has already entered judgment for Defendant Microsoft and against Plaintiff. See dkt. 40.¹ Plaintiff is surely aware of this fact, as he has already appealed that judgment. See dkt.

//
//
//
//

¹ Plaintiff also did not receive leave from the Court to file a motion for reconsideration, per Local Rule 7-9(a), nor did he satisfy any of the requirements of Local Rule 7-9(b).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

43. Accordingly, the Request for Reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 19, 2011



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE