

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 ADRIAN FRANK ANDRADE,

No. C 11-3528 SI (pr)

8 Plaintiff,

**ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL
EXHIBITS**

9 v.

10 GREG LEWIS, warden; et al.,

11 Defendants.
12 _____/

13 In this *pro se* prisoner's civil rights action, plaintiff claims that his due process rights were
14 violated during his late 2008 validation as an associate of the Northern Structure prison gang.
15 Defendants have moved for summary judgment and argued, inter alia, that there was sufficient
16 evidence to support the validation decision. The court's consideration of defendants' motion is
17 hindered by defendants' failure to present the evidence upon which they relied in validating
18 plaintiff. Instead of submitting all of the source items used to validate plaintiff, they refer the
19 reader to the confidential disclosure forms that purported to describe those items to the inmate.
20 See Motion for Summary Judgment, pp. 3-4 (citing exhibits B-F to the complaint). The court
21 wants to see the source items, rather than descriptions of those source items. Accordingly, no
22 later than **January 25, 2013**, defendants must file a copy of the source items referred to in
23 exhibits B-F to the complaint. If defendants consider the items to be confidential, they may file
24 them under seal (and not serve a copy of the evidence on plaintiff), but must comply with Local
25 Rule 79-5 in moving to file the supplemental evidence under seal.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: January 9, 2013

28 

SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge