

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 AMELIA PEREZ, CONSTANTINO PEREZ,  
9 JR.,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,  
13 CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE  
COMPANY, LASALLE BANK, NA,  
and DOES 1-50,

14 Defendants.  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

No. C 11-03602 SI

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE; AND  
VACATING OCTOBER 28, 2011  
HEARING**

17 On May 26, 2011, plaintiffs filed this suit in San Mateo County Superior Court. Defendants  
18 removed this action to the Northern District of California, and this matter has recently been re-assigned  
19 to Judge Susan Illston, located at the federal courthouse at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA  
20 94010.


21 On August 2, 2011, defendants JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., California Reconveyance  
22 Company, and Bank of America, N.A., as a successor by merger to LaSalle Bank NA, filed a motion  
23 to dismiss *pro se* plaintiffs' amended complaint. The motion is scheduled for a hearing on October 28,  
24 2011. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(a), plaintiffs' opposition was due by August 16, 2011. Plaintiffs  
25 have not yet filed an opposition, nor have they communicated in any way with the Court concerning  
26 these matters.

27 Plaintiffs are hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing to be filed no later than**  
28 **November 3, 2011**, why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

1 *See* Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(b). Plaintiffs are notified that an inadequate or untimely response will result  
2 in dismissal. **The October 28, 2011 hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss is VACATED, and**  
3 **will be rescheduled if necessary.**

4  
5  
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7  
8 Dated: October 24, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge