

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 AMELIA PEREZ, CONSTANTINO PEREZ, No. C 11-03602 SI
9 JR.,
10

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE; AND
VACATING OCTOBER 28, 2011
HEARING**

10 || Plaintiffs,

V. 11

11
12 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
13 CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE
COMPANY, LASALLE BANK, NA,
and DOES 1-50,

District 14 Defendants.

Unit
For the N
17 On May 26, 2011, plaintiffs filed this suit in San Mateo County Superior Court. Defendants
18 removed this action to the Northern District of California, and this matter has recently been re-assigned
19 to Judge Susan Illston, located at the federal courthouse at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA
20 94010.

21 On August 2, 2011, defendants JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., California Reconveyance
22 Company, and Bank of America, N.A., as a successor by merger to LaSalle Bank NA, filed a motion
23 to dismiss *pro se* plaintiffs' amended complaint. The motion is scheduled for a hearing on October 28,
24 2011. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(a), plaintiffs' opposition was due by August 16, 2011. Plaintiffs
25 have not yet filed an opposition, nor have they communicated in any way with the Court concerning
26 these matters.

27 Plaintiffs are hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** in writing to be filed no later than
28 **November 3, 2011**, why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

1 *See* Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(b). Plaintiffs are notified that an inadequate or untimely response will result
2 in dismissal. **The October 28, 2011 hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss is VACATED, and**
3 **will be rescheduled if necessary.**

4

5

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7

8 Dated: October 24, 2011

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28


SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge