18

19

20

21

22

23

25

27

28

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 6 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 7 | |
| 8 | TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY) Case No. 11-3638-SC COMPANY OF AMERICA, et al., |
| 9 |) ORDER DENYING MOTION TO |
| 10 |) ENLARGE SCHEDULING ORDERS v.) TO PERMIT THE FILING OF A |
| 11 |) MOTION TO COMPEL |
| 12 | CENTEX HOMES, |
| 13 | Defendant.) |
| 14 | AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Now before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion for an order |

Now before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion for an order enlarging the Court's scheduling orders to permit Plaintiffs to file a motion to compel Defendant to produce certain documents. ECF No. 204 ("Mot."). The Motion is now moot, as Defendant has since agreed to produce the requested documents. See ECF No. 208 ("Hayes Decl.") ¶ 5. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Motion is DENIED.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 December 10, 2013

UNITED STATES ASTRICT JUDGE