

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY ) Case Nos. 11-3638-SC, 12-  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, ) 0371-SC, 13-0088-SC

Plaintiff, )  
) ORDER STAYING CASES

v. )

CENTEX HOMES, NEWMeyer & )  
DILLION, and DOES 1 through 10 )  
inclusive, )

---

TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY )  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

CENTEX HOMES, NEWMeyer & )  
DILLION, RGL INC., RGL )  
FORENSICS, and DOES 1 through 10 )  
inclusive, )

---

TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY )  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, FIDELITY & )  
GUARANTY INSURANCE COMPANY, THE )  
TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF )  
CONNECTICUT, and ST. PAUL MERCURY )  
INSURANCE COMPANY, )

Plaintiffs, )

v. )

CENTEX HOMES and DOES 1 through )  
10 inclusive, )

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS )

1           The three above-captioned matters have been related and are  
2 now pending before this Court. Case Numbers 12-0371 and 13-0088  
3 have also been consolidated (collectively, the "Consolidated  
4 Cases"). Travelers now moves to stay the proceedings in Case  
5 Number 11-cv-3638 (the "'38 Case") pending the California Supreme  
6 Court's review of J.R. Marketing, LLC v. Hartford Casualty  
7 Insurance Company, 158 Cal. Rptr. 3d 41 (Cal. Ct. App. 2013).  
8 Centex does not oppose a stay of the '38 case, so long as the Court  
9 also stays the Consolidated Cases. Centex has separately moved to  
10 stay the consolidated cases. Those motions are unopposed. In the  
11 interest of judicial efficiency, the Court STAYS all three cases,  
12 including Centex's pending motion for reconsideration in the '38  
13 case, pending the Supreme Court's decision in J.R. Marketing.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 13, 2013

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE