1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF Case No. 11-CV-03638-SC ) CONNECTICUT; and ST. PAUL FIRE 10 AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY, RESPONSE TO STATUS REPORT 11 Plaintiffs, 12 v. 13 CENTEX HOMES; and CENTEX REAL ESTATE CORPORATION, 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 18 On December 13, 2013, the Court granted Defendants' motion to 19 stay this case pending the outcome of the California Supreme 20 Court's decision in Hartford Cas. Ins. Co. v. J.R. Mktg., L.L.C., 21 22 No. S211645, 2015 WL 4716917 (Cal. Aug. 10, 2015). ECF No. 224. On August 102, 2015, the California Supreme Court issued its 23 Opinion. 24 On August 17, 2015, the parties filed a joint status report 25 requesting a status conference to discuss what actions may need to 26 27 be taken in light of the California Supreme Court's decision. No. 231. Plaintiffs' position is that additional briefing is 28

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

ECF

needed on the effect of J.R. Marketing on this Court's August 26, 2013 ruling on Centex's motion for reconsideration. Centex's position is that any party who believes J.R. Marketing affects a ruling of this Court should bring a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration consistent with Local Rule 7-9(a). The Court agrees with Centex's position and finds that a status conference at this time is unnecessary. Accordingly, Plaintiffs should file a motion for reconsideration. 

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE