2	AKAY SULL LLP DOUGLAS N. AKAY, STATE BAR #131011 HARJIT K. SULL, STATE BAR #238458 333 Bush Street, Suite 2250 San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 764-1999 (telephone) (415) 764-1994 (facsimile) dnakay@akaylaw.com	
6	Attorneys for GARMAN GROUP, LLC and RYAN GARMAN	
7	,	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
11	GARMAN GROUP, LLC, a Nevada limited	No.11-CV-03733-JCS
12	liability company, and RYAN GARMAN, an individual,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)
14	v.	TORSOINT TO NOLL 41(a)
15	UNIVERSITY PIPELINE, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOM UNGER, an individual, and	
16	DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE entities I through X, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 7	-12, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan
21	Graman ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendant Tom Unger	("Defendant"), through their respective counsel,
22	respectfully submit this Stipulation and Proposed	Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Without
23	Prejudice.	
24	RECI	TALS
25	1. Plaintiffs filed the Complaint on De	ecember 10, 2010, in the District Court of
26	Nevada, against University Pipeline, Inc., and Ton	n Unger for breach of contract, breach of
27	covenants of good faith and fair dealing, tortious b	reach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing,
28	breach of fiduciary, fraud, and unjust enrichment.	

27

28

3.

1	2.	On February 2, 2011, Defendant Unger filed a Notice of Removal of Action, under
2	28 USC §§133	2, 1441, 1446, from Nevada District Court to the United States District Court for
3	Nevada.	
4	3.	On February 9, 2011, Defendant Unger filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of
5	Personal Juriso	liction, or in the Alterative, Transfer Venue, which the Court granted in part on July
6	22, 2011, and i	ssued an order transferring venue to the Northern District of California.
7	4.	On January 26, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice
8	pursuant to Ru	le 41(a), to dismiss the action against Defendant Unger. Concurrently, Plaintiffs
9	filed a Notice	of Voluntary Dismissal Without Prejudice as to University Pipeline, Inc.
10	5.	On March 30, 2012, the Court conditionally granted the Motion to Dismiss Without
11	Prejudice, subj	ect to payment of reasonable attorneys fees incurred by Defendant Unger in
12	connection wit	h the Motion to Transfer Venue only.
13	6.	On April 6, 2012, Defendant's counsel filed a declaration requesting attorneys fees
14	in the amount	of \$14,339.20, for the amount claimed Defendant Unger incurred in connection with
15	the Motion to	Transfer Venue only.
16	7.	On April 20, 2012, Plaintiffs' counsel filed a declaration seeking to tax portions of
17	the attorneys fo	ees claimed to have been incurred by Defendant Unger, to wit, Plaintiffs requested
18	the Court redu	ce Defendant's attorneys fees to an amount no greater than \$10,813.
19		STIPULATION
20	Based	on the foregoing Recitals, and subject to this Court's approval, Plaintiffs and
21	Defendant agre	ee and stipulate as follows:
22	1.	For purposes of granting the Motion to Dismiss without Prejudice, subject to
23	payment of rea	sonable attorneys fees incurred by Defendant in filing the Motion to Transfer
24	Venue only, th	e parties stipulate the reasonable attorneys fees incurred by Defendant Unger is
25	\$11,250.	
26	2.	The attorneys fees of \$11,250 shall be paid within ten (10) days of the date of entry

The instant action shall be dismissed without prejudice upon delivery of said

of this Order in a check made payable to "Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP".

1	attorneys fees to Defendant's counsel, Daniel A. Croley, at Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier	
2	LLP at 180 Sansome Street, 17th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104	
3	IT SO STIPULATED.	
4	Dated: April 24, 2012 FUTTERMAN DUPREE DODD CROLEY MAIER LLP	
5		
6	By: /s/ Daniel A. Croley DANIEL A. CROLEY	
7	Attorneys for Defendant, Tom Unger	
8	Tom Engel	
9	Dated: April 24, 2012 AKAY SULL LLP	
10	Fucu. Tipin 21, 2012	
11	By: /s/ Douglas N. Akay	
12	DOUGLAS N. AKAY Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	
13	Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman	
14		
15	ORDER	
16	PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED THAT:	
16 17	PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED THAT:  1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and	
17		
17 18	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and	
17 18	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A.	
17 18 19	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A. Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.	
17 18 19 20	<ol> <li>Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A.</li> <li>Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.</li> <li>If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been</li> </ol>	
17 18 19 20 21	<ol> <li>Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A. Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.</li> <li>If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been received, after the passage of twenty (20) days of the entry of this Order, the Court shall dismiss the instant action without prejudice.</li> </ol>	
17 18 19 20 21 22	<ol> <li>Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A. Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.</li> <li>If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been received, after the passage of twenty (20) days of the entry of this Order, the Court shall dismiss the instant action without prejudice.</li> </ol>	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A. Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.  2. If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been received, after the passage of twenty (20) days of the entry of this Order, the Court shall dismiss the instant action without prejudice.  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A. Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.  2. If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been received, after the passage of twenty (20) days of the entry of this Order, the Court shall dismiss the instant action without prejudice.  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.  By:  Hon. To Stipulate Judge Joseph C. Spero Linited States Judge Judge Judge Joseph C. Spero Linited States Judge	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Order, Plaintiffs Garman Group, LLC and Ryan Garman, deliver \$11,250 for attorney's fees to Defendant Tom Unger's counsel, Daniel A. Croley at the law offices of Futterman Dupree Dodd Croley Maier LLP.  2. If not advised otherwise by Defendant Unger's counsel that payment has not been received, after the passage of twenty (20) days of the entry of this Order, the Court shall dismiss the instant action without prejudice.  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.  By:  Hon. Judge Joseph C. Spero	