

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD STARSKI,

No. C 11-03740 SI

Plaintiff,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ORDER
REQUIRING FURTHER INFORMATION
IN SUPPORT OF IFP APPLICATION**

CorePHP, LLC,

Defendant.

14 Currently before the Court is plaintiff's second application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP)
15 pursuant to 28 § U.S.C. 1915. Docket No. 6. Plaintiff's first application was denied without prejudice
16 because did not sign the application. Defendant has appeared in order to oppose plaintiff's second
17 application. Defendant contends that the second IFP application is defective and contains untrue,
18 inaccurate and incorrect statements. Docket No. 10. Defendant has submitted evidence, in the form of
19 declarations regarding postings allegedly made by plaintiff on various web sites about plaintiff's past
20 employment, assets, income and activities. Even if the Court accepted that the postings identified by
21 defendant were actually made by the plaintiff in this case – something which has not been demonstrated
22 – those postings do not directly contradict the statements made by plaintiff in his IFP application
23 regarding income in the past 12 months and his current financial situation. Nonetheless, defendant's
24 opposition raises questions regarding plaintiff's assets which the Court believes should be addressed.

25 Therefore, the Court ORDERS plaintiff to show cause by filing a supplemental affidavit, signed
26 under penalty of perjury, that identifies the address of the home he owns as well as any other property
27 in which he has a financial interest. Plaintiff must also declare that he has listed *all* of his assets on his
28 second IFP application and affirm that all of his statements made in that application (Docket No. 6) are

1 true and correct. Plaintiff must file this supplemental affidavit, or pay the \$350 filing fee, on or before
2 **December 14, 2011**. If plaintiff fails to file the supplemental affidavit or filing fee on or before this
3 date, the Court will deny the request for IFP and the action will be dismissed without prejudice

4

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: November 29, 2011

Susan Illston
8 SUSAN ILLSTON
9 United States District Judge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28