	1		
1		Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)	
2		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
3	a	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
4	(Note: Parties	s who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably	
5	more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone		
6	conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone		
7	Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)		
8	Private Process:		
9	XX Private ADR (please identify process and provider) The parties have agreed		
10		neutral provider by no later than November 8, 2011.	
11	The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:		
12   XX the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of		the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the	
13		case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
14 15	□ other r	equested deadline	
16			
17			
18	Dated: 10/19/2	011 <u>/s/ Christine S. Hwang</u> Christine S. Hwang	
19		Attorney for Plaintiffs	
20			
21			
22	Dated: 10/19/2	Lisa van Krieken	
23		Attorney for Defendant	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		.•	
		2	
	STIPULATIO	ON AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	

## LEONARD CARDER, LLP ATYORNEYS 1188 FRANKLIN STREET, SUITE 201 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94109 TEL: (415) 771-6400 FAX: (415) 771-7010

1	<del>-[PROPOSED] -</del> ORDER		
2	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:		
3	□ Non-binding Arbitration  Figure Notation (ENE)		
4	☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) ☐ Mediation		
5	XX Private ADR		
6	Deadline for ADR session		
7	XX 90 days from the date of this order.		
8	other		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	\$1.10 D 1 1		
12	Dated: October 20, 2011  Elizabeth D. Laporte  IDUTED STATES MACISTRATE HIDGE		
13	Elizabeth D. Laporte UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			