

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELLIS BROWN,

No. C 11-03883 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER FINDING GOOD CAUSE  
SHOWN AND SETTING BRIEFING  
AND HEARING SCHEDULE**

WELLS FARGO BANK NA,

Defendant.

On December 9, 2011, *pro se* plaintiff Ellis Brown was ordered to show cause why his claims against defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., should not be dismissed for his failure to oppose defendant's motion to dismiss. Plaintiff has responded by way of submitting a "motion to show cause" (Dkt. No. 23). Good cause having been shown, plaintiff shall file an opposition to the motion to dismiss by **JANUARY 9, 2012**. Defendant's reply brief is due **JANUARY 17**. The motion will be heard at **8 A.M. ON JANUARY 26**.

In plaintiff's response to the order to show cause, he "request[s] a Motion to Show Cause to require Wells Fargo Bank" to answer specific questions, which plaintiff sets forth in his submission, to "restrain [Wells Fargo] from taking any further action that is designed to intimidate or embarrass plaintiff," and to require Wells Fargo to pay plaintiff \$1,000,000 in damages to plaintiff (Dkt. No. 23 at 5). These requests are not made on a properly noticed

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

motion. To the extent that plaintiff requests this Court to order defendant to show cause and grant the above-described relief, the request is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 19, 2011.



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE