De Abadia-Peixoto	et al v. United States Department of Homeland Security e	tal	Doc. 208
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UNITED STATES DIS NORTHERN DISTRICT SAN FRANCISCO	OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UELIAN DE ABADIA-PEIXOTO, et al.,	Case No.: 3:11-cv-4001 RS	
11	Plaintiffs,		
12	v.	<u>CLASS ACTION</u> [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY	
13	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	APPROVAL ORDER	
14	HOMELAND SECURITY, RAND BEERS,	Date: January 23, 2014 Time: 1:30 p.m.	
15	of Homeland Security, UNITED STATES IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS	Judge: Honorable Richard Seeborg Ctrm: 3, 17th Floor	
16	ENFORCEMENT, JOHN SANDWEG, Acting Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs	Action Filed: August 15, 2011	
17	Enforcement, TIMOTHY AITKEN, Field Office Director of the San Francisco District of U.S.	rectoff fred. August 13, 2011	
18	Immigration and Customs Enforcement, ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., United States Attorney General,		
19			
20	OSUNA, Director of the Executive Office for Immigration Review,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER		

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement of the above-captioned class action (the "Action") pursuant to the parties' settlement agreement ("Agreement"), and having duly considered the papers and arguments of counsel, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

- 1. Unless defined herein, all defined terms in this Order shall have the respective meanings set forth in the Agreement.
- 2. The Court has conducted a preliminary evaluation of the Agreement for fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness. Based on this preliminary evaluation, the Court finds that (i) there is cause to believe that the Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and within the range of possible approval, (ii) the Agreement has been negotiated in good faith at arm's-length between experienced attorneys familiar with the legal and factual issues of this case, and (iii) the notice of the material terms of the Agreement to members of the Settlement Class for their consideration and reaction is warranted. Therefore, the Court grants preliminary approval of the Agreement.
- 3. On April 10, 2014 at 1:30 p.m., this Court will hold a hearing on the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the Agreement ("Fairness Hearing") and will determine whether final approval of the Agreement should be granted via entry of the Proposed Final Order and Stipulated Dismissal attached as Exhibit D to the Agreement.
- 4. The Court approves the form and manner of giving direct notice to the Settlement Class by: (i) sending notice via U.S. mail and/or email to all organizations included on the list of low-fee and free legal services provided to respondents in removal proceedings before the San Francisco Immigration Court; (ii) sending notice via email to the list-serv for the Northern California chapter of AILA (American Immigration Lawyers Association) and the Northern California chapter of the National Lawyers' Guild; (iii) posting notice in areas visible to immigration detainees in all facilities holding respondents appearing before the San Francisco Immigration Court; and (iv) posting notice on the websites of EOIR, ICE, ACLU of Northern California, and Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area. All postings will be in English, Spanish, Chinese, and Punjabi, and all parties will provide alternate

format copies of the notice upon request. Notice will be posted/distributed by the parties within seven (7) working days of the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, and shall remain posted for no less than thirty (30) days. The parties will submit declarations to the Court as part of the motion for Final Approval confirming that notice has been issued according to this paragraph. The notice in form, method, and content complies with the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Court hereby directs the parties to complete all aspects of the notice process no later than January 30, 2014 and in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

5. Any member of the Settlement Class may object to final approval of the Agreement by submitting his or her objection ("Objection") to Class Counsel in writing, via regular or electronic mail, or by leaving a message with his or her Objection via telephone to the number previously provided by Defendants to facilitate contact with Class Counsel from persons within immigration detention facilities; provided, however, that all Objections must be received by Class Counsel no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel shall file any Objections with the Court no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the Fairness Hearing and will forward copies of any Objections to Defendants' counsel within five (5) calendar days of receipt. A Settlement Class member who objects to the Settlement need not appear at the Fairness Hearing for his or her objection to be considered by the Court; however, any additional papers, briefs, pleadings, or other documents that any objector would like the Court to consider must be filed with the Court, with a copy postmarked to the parties' counsel, no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the final approval hearing. All papers filed by an objector shall include the caption *De Abadia-Peixoto*, et al., No. 3:11-cv-4001 RS, and provide: (i) the Settlement Class member's full name and current address; (ii) a signed declaration that he or she believes himself or herself to be a member of the Settlement Class; (iii) the specific grounds for the objection; (iv) all documents or writings that such Settlement Class member desires the Court to consider; and (vii) a notice of intention (if any) to appear at the Fairness Hearing.

27

6. Any	Settlement Class member w	who fails to object in the	manner prescribed herein
shall be deemed to l	have waived his or her object	ctions and forever be bar	red from making any
such objections in the	this Action. While the decla	ration described in subp	aragraph 5(ii) is <i>prima</i>
facie evidence that t	the objector is a member of	the Settlement Class, the	e parties may take
discovery regarding	g the matter, subject to Cour	t approval. If an objecto	or does not submit his or
her Objection in acc	cordance with the deadline a	and procedure set forth in	n the notice, and the
Settlement Class me	ember is not granted relief b	y the Court, the Settlem	ent Class member will be
deemed to have wai	ived his or her right to be he	eard at the Fairness Hear	ing.

7. The Agreement, and the proceedings and statements made pursuant to the Agreement or papers filed relating to the approval of the Agreement, and this Order, shall not be offered or received against any party as evidence of, or construed as or deemed to be evidence of, any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the parties of the truth or falsity of any fact, claim, defense, or argument that was or could have been asserted in the Action, or any admission of liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing by any party, or referred to in any other way for any other reason as against the parties to the Agreement, in any other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceedings, other than in proceedings to enforce the Agreement. Nothing contained herein, however, shall be construed to prevent the parties from offering the Agreement into evidence for the purposes of enforcement of the Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 23, 2014

Honorable Richard Seeborg

United States District Judge