

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 SIDENSE CORP.,

No. C 11-04112 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER VACATING NOVEMBER 18,  
2011 MOTION HEARING AND  
DISMISSING ORIGINAL COMPLAINT  
AS MOOT**

10 v.

11 KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY INC., et al.,

12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 On August 19, 2011 plaintiff filed its original complaint. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss  
15 on October 11, 2011. On October 25, 2011, plaintiff filed both an opposition to this motion, as well as  
16 a first amended complaint ("FAC"), which included new allegations. Plaintiff's opposition relies  
17 heavily on its FAC, as defendants note in their November 1, 2011 reply. On November 8, 2011  
18 defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's FAC. Because the original complaint is moot in light  
19 of the FAC filing, the Court dismisses the original complaint and vacates the motion hearing scheduled  
20 for November 18, 2011. The motion hearing set for December 16, 2011 regarding defendants' second  
21 motion to dismiss will stay on calendar.

22  
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**24  
25 Dated: November 14, 201126 SUSAN ILLSTON  
27 United States District Judge  
28