Sidense Corp. v. Hilopass Technology Inc. et al

25

26

27

28

2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	SIDENSE CORP., No. C 11-04112 SI
9	Plaintiff, ORDER RE: DISCOVER CUTOFF DATE
10	v.
11	KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY INC. and
12	CHARLIE CHENG,
13	Defendants.
14	This action is related to an earlier action, <i>Kilopass v. Sidense</i> , CV 10-2066 SI. Defendants
15	Kilopass and Charlie Cheng filed a motion to modify the fact discovery cutoff date in this action
16	
17	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3. See Doc. 21. The cutoff for non-expert discovery was set for
18	December 19, 2011; Kilopass seeks an extension to February 15, 2012. Plaintiff Sidense agrees to the
19	extension, provided that Kilopass not be allowed to depose Sidense's already-noticed witnesses twice
20	(once in each of the related actions). The Court agrees with Sidense that this is a reasonable solution.
21	All witnesses will be presumptively limited to a single deposition; however, if good cause is shown, a
	second may be allowed, but only through Court order. Therefore, Kilopass' motion is GRANTED IN
22	PART and DENIED IN PART. The cutoff for non-expert discovery is now set for February 15, 2012.
23	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 23, 2012

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge

Doc. 33