1	**E-filed 1/5/12**
2	
3	
4 5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10	
11	CARLOS MASSEY, No. C 11-4222 RS
12	Plaintiff, ORDER RE MOTION TO DISMISS
13	v. AND TO STRIKE
14	CITY OF SAN MATEO, et al,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Defendants move to dismiss and strike the complaint herein. Although plaintiff filed no
18	timely response to the motion, he has now exercised his one-time right to amend the complaint
19	without leave of Court. Without opining as to whether or not the amended complaint addresses all of
20	the purported defects identified in defendants' motion, the revisions appear to be significant enough
21	that it would not be practicable to deem the existing motion as applying to it. Accordingly, the
22	motion is denied, without prejudice to defendant's right to reassert any arguments contained therein
23	to the extent they may be equally applicable to the amended complaint. The hearing set for January
24	19, 2012 is vacated.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: 1/5/12
27	RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California