1	**E-filed 3/15/2012**
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11	
12	CARLOS MASSEY, No. C 11-4222 RS
13	Plaintiff,
14	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION v.
15 16	CITY OF SAN MATEO, et al,
17	Defendants.
18	
19	On February 17, 2012, an order entered granting defendants' unopposed motion to dismiss
20	the First Amended Complaint. Plaintiff was advised that unless he filed a brief within 15 days
21	showing cause why leave to amend should be granted, the action would be dismissed with prejudice.
22	The 15 days period has elapsed, and plaintiff has filed no response. Accordingly, this action is
23	dismissed with prejudice.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: March 15, 2012
27	RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	