1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7 8	
o 9	ANDY NICHOLAW, No. C-11-4272 JCS
10	Plaintiff, ORDER
11	v. [Docket Nos. 14, 15]
12	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff Andy Nicholaw has filed a "Declination to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge and
16	Request for Reassignment to a United States District Judge" and has also filed a "Second Objection
17	to Order Dismissing Complaint & Request for De Novo Review." Docket Nos. 14, 15.
18	Because the Plaintiff has previously consented to magistrate jurisdiction, the purported
19	declination is untimely and the Court cannot consider it. This matter has been dismissed by the
20	Court. See Docket Nos. 8, 13. Therefore, the Court construes Plaintiff's recent filings as a motion
21	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) (the "Motion"). Having carefully considered the
22	Plaintiff's arguments and the papers submitted, the Court find no factual or legal ground to
23	reconsider the dismissal.
24	
25 26	
26 27	
28	

1	The Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: January 9, 2012
4	
5	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2