1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	
10	LEON LEE MEYERS, No. C-11-4282 TEH (PR)
11	Plaintiff,
12	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S v. LETTER RE: FEES DUE ON APPEAL
13	JOSEPH HURLEY, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a California state prisoner, filed a <u>pro se</u>
17	civil rights action pursuant to 42 $II_{1}S_{1}C_{2}$ § 1983 alleging that

18 numerous errors during his 2006-2007 criminal trial violated his 19 constitutional rights and that these errors constituted a violation 20 of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 21 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. ("ADA"). On April 9, 2012, the Court 22 dismissed without prejudice to re-filing in a habeas petition 23 Plaintiff's § 1983 claims that challenged the validity of his 24 conviction and dismissed with prejudice his remaining § 1983 claims 25 and his ADA claims. Judgment entered on April 17, 2012.

On May 8, 2012, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On several
28

United States District Court For the Northern District of California 1 occasions, the Ninth Circuit has informed Plaintiff that he must pay 2 the docketing fee, or file a motion with the Ninth Circuit to 3 proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) or otherwise show cause why the 4 appeal should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

5 On August 13, 2012, Plaintiff submitted a letter to this 6 Court stating that he has filed a completed application to proceed 7 IFP with the Ninth Circuit. He states that he wants to know if the 8 prison has delivered this application and asks this Court to send 9 him "a Court docket in this matter."

Because Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and judgment entered, this Court no longer has jurisdiction over it. Furthermore, the docket that Plaintiff wishes to see is the docket of his appeal in the Ninth Circuit, not his case in this Court. Plaintiff is advised to send any further requests regarding his docket or his application to proceed IFP directly to the Ninth Circuit.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Hetter the ander

THELTON E. HENDERSON United States District Judge

DATED 08/21/2012 THELTON E. H THELTON E. H United State