

1 under the Court’s Amended Case Management Scheduling Order (Dkt. Entry No. 28) (the
2 “Order”), at 2:20-21, for the parties to exchange preliminary claim constructions and
3 extrinsic evidence.

4 **RECITALS**

5 A. WHEREAS, on April 3, 2012, the Court entered the Order in the within
6 action setting various deadlines in the proceedings, including claim construction
7 scheduling;

8 B. WHEREAS, among other deadlines, the Order set the following deadline:
9 “The parties shall exchange preliminary claim constructions and extrinsic evidence no later
10 than **August 1, 2012**” (Order, at 2:20-21 (emphasis in original));

11 C. WHEREAS, the parties desire to have the foregoing deadline extended by
12 one week to allow them to devote additional time to their continued good faith meet and
13 confer efforts and disclosure obligations under Patent Local Rules 4-1(b) and 4-2(a);

14 D. WHEREAS, there have been no modifications of any deadlines set forth in
15 the Order, and the only time modification requested (and granted by the Court) previously
16 concerned the time within which Comarco was to answer the complaint and the date on
17 which the Court would hold the Case Management Conference (*see* Order dated January
18 10, 2012); and,

19 E. WHEREAS, the extension requested herein will have no impact on any other
20 deadlines or dates set forth in the Order.

21 **STIPULATION**

22 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their respective undersigned
23 counsel, hereby STIPULATE and AGREE to the following modification of the Order: The
24 **August 1, 2012** deadline for the parties to exchange preliminary claim constructions and
25
26
27
28

1 extrinsic evidence (*see* Order, at 2:20-21) is extended by one week—that is, the deadline is
2 now **August 8, 2012**.

3
4 Dated: July 25, 2012.

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

5

By //s// A. James Isbester

6

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
ACCO BRANDS USA LLC

7

8

9 Dated: July 25, 2012.

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

10

By //s// Colin T. Kemp

11

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff
COMARCO WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12

13

14

GENERAL ORDER 45 ATTESTATION

15

In accordance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Mr. Isbester

16

concurred in this filing.

17

By //s// Colin T. Kemp

18

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff
COMARCO WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

19

20

21

22

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

23

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

Dated: 7/25/12.



25

United States District Court Judge
Richard Seeborg

26

27

28