UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAWRENCE HICKMAN, Plaintiff,

Case No. 11-cv-04395-EDL

v.

ORDER

OFFICER E. BASHAN,

Defendant.

On September 18, 2013, the parties entered into a stipulation and order agreeing to resolve this matter through binding arbitration. Docket No. 152. On February 20, 2014, the Court issued an Order setting forth the process to be used to select the single arbitrator. Docket No. 161. Thereafter, Defendant objected to three potential arbitrators, and the Court issued an Order permitting Plaintiff time to respond to Defendant's objections. Docket No. 164. Plaintiff responded, arguing that Defendant's objections should be overruled and that the entire panel should remain eligible. Docket No. 165.

Subsequently, the Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James for a hearing on the enforcement of the settlement reached by the parties in September 2013. Docket No. 166. Plaintiff then filed a motion to stay arbitration pending ruling on a motion to amend the complaint, which the Court denied on April 11, 2014. Docket No. 171. The Court also referred the matter to Judge James for a further settlement conference. <u>Id.</u>

Before the further settlement conference, Plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint and a motion to stay, which the Court denied on September 24, 2014. Docket No. 177. The further settlement conference was vacated just prior to the Court's Order. Docket No. 176. The Court set a status conference for October 14, 2014. At the status conference, Defendant withdrew

her objections to the potential arbitrators and stated that she was prepared to proceed with arbitration. Although Plaintiff informed the Court that he was no longer willing to participate in the arbitration process, he had previously agreed to do so in a binding stipulation that was adopted as a court order.

Accordingly, the Court enforces the parties' September 18, 2013 stipulation to proceed with binding arbitration. Further, the arbitrator selection process outlined in the Court's February 20, 2014 Order remains in effect. Attached to this Order is an alphabetical list of the potential arbitrators on the Court's Arbitration Panel. Thus, no later than November 4, 2014, the parties shall select their preferred arbitrator as set forth in the February 20, 2014 Order. Defendant has withdrawn any objections to the potential arbitrator. Because Plaintiff did not object when this list was presented in February 2014, he has waived any objections to the potential arbitrators. Once an arbitrator has been appointed, the arbitration will proceed under the current procedures set forth under ADR Local Rule 4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 20, 2014

ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court Northern District of California

27

28

USDC ADR Program 1 Case No. C 11-4395 EDL ARB Hickman v. City of Berkeley, et al. 2 List of Police Misconduct Arbitrators 3 4 John F. Barg Wayne D. Brazil Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP **JAMS** 5 San Francisco jfb@bcltlaw.com San Francisco wbrazil@jamsadr.com Tel: 415-228-5400 Fax: 415-228-5450 Tel: 415-774-2630 Fax: 415-982-5287 6 Robert T. Fries Barbara Kong-Brown 7 Carter, Carter, Fries & Grunschlag Attorney at Law San Francisco rfries@carterfries.com Oakland bkongbrown1@gmail.com 8 Tel: 415-989-4800 Fax: 415-989-4864 Tel: 510-208-3688 Fax: 510-208-5188 9 Charles J. McClain, Jr. Stephen L. Schirle Center for Research and Administration PG&E Company Law Department 10 Berkeley cmcclain@law.berkeley.edu San Francisco slsw@pge.com Tel: 510-642-5027 Tel: 415-973-8478 Fax: 415-973-5520 11 Herb A. Schwartz Gregory D. Walker 12 Change Mediation Attorney/Mediator/Arbitrator Garberville herb@changemediation.com Oakland gwalker@rawbw.com 13 Tel: 707-923-2223 Fax: 707-923-2082 Tel: 510-451-6070 Fax: 510-658-3305 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26