1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6 7		Case No. <u>11-cv-04494-WHO</u> and Case No. 12-cv-6360-WHO	
8			
9		ORDER OF DISMISSAL UPON SETTLEMENT	
10	SHASTA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, et al., Defendants.		
11			
12			
13	The parties to the action, by and through their counsel, have advised the Court that they have		
14	agreed to a settlement.	agreed to a settlement.	
15	IT IS ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and any hearings		
16	scheduled in this matter are VACATED. It is further ordered that if any party certifies to this Court,		
17	with proper notice to opposing counsel within ninety (90) days from the date below, that settlement		
18 10	has not in fact occurred, this order shall be vacated and this cause shall be restored to the calendar for		
19 20	further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: April 29, 2016		
22			
23	WILLIAM H. ORRICK		
24	United States District Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28			

United States District Court Northern District of California