

THE HERITAGE LAW GROUP  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1 Roger D. Wintle (SBN 142484)  
Jill R. Schachter (SBN 278100)  
2 **THE HERITAGE LAW GROUP, A P.C.**  
152 North Third Street  
3 San Jose, California 95112  
4 Tel: (408) 993-2100  
5 Fax: (408) 993-2101  
6 Email: [rdw@hlgusa.com](mailto:rdw@hlgusa.com)

6 Attorney for Plaintiff:  
7 BRADFORD TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 BRADFORD TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Case No. 3:11-CV-04621

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 NCV SOFTWARE.com, an entity of  
unknown form; METRO NATIONAL  
15 FINANCIAL, LLC, a Utah limited  
liability company, KARMA  
16 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC., a Utah limited  
liability company, JOHN DAVID  
17 BIGGERS, an individual, RODNEY  
NEWMAN, an individual, RICHARD  
18 PETER STEVES, an individual,  
RICHARD FRANK, an individual and  
19 DOES 1-50,  
20 Defendants.

~~PROPOSED~~ **ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR  
TERMINATION OF DOC. NO. 91 AND  
DOC. NO. 100**

Date:  
Time:  
Dept: Courtroom E – 15th Floor  
Judge: Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte

21 Plaintiff Bradford Technologies, Inc.'s Motion for Joinder was electronically filed through  
22 Pacer as Doc. No. 91, listing the type of motion as "joinder." After filing the motion, it was  
23 discovered that electronic filing of a "joinder" motion through Pacer does not allow the filer to  
24 choose responsive filing dates for opposition or reply. Plaintiff attempted to file its Motion for  
25 Joinder a second time as Doc. No. 100, but the result was the same. After speaking with Pacer  
26 customer service and contacting the Court, it was determined that the filing would need to be  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

made as a general motion in order to allow for the proper response filing deadlines to appear on the electronic court calendar. The Plaintiff's Motion for Joinder was filed a third time as Doc. No. 108, providing for responsive filing deadlines.

Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to terminate the hearing dates associated with the first two attempts to file Plaintiff's Motion for Joinder – Doc. No. 91 and Doc. No. 100.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: November 27, 2012

  
ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Magistrate Judge