Juarez v. Chacon et al

For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	GILBERTO JUAREZ, No. C 11-4631 WHA (PR)
8	
9	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
10	V.
11	PRISONS; 25 OFFICERS OF THE COURT;
12	(Docket No. 12) Defendants.
13	
14	Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights complaint under
15	42 U.S.C. 1983 seeking to have his conviction vacated. The case was dismissed without
16	prejudice to filing a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. 2254. Plaintiff has
17	filed a notice of appeal, attached to which is a motion for rehearing. The motion reiterates
18	claims that his constitutional rights were violated in the course of his criminal prosecution.
19	Such claims are properly brought in habeas, not in a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C.
20	1983. See Skinner v. Switzer, 131 S. Ct. 1289, 1293 (2011). Consequently, the motion for
21	rehearing is Denied.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Dated Namedon 12 2011 Mrs Ahre
24	Dated: November 12 , 2011. WILLIAM ALSUP LINETED STATES DISTRICT LUDGE
25	United States District Judge
26	

 $G: \ \ CR.11 \setminus JUAREZ4631.REC.wpd$