1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         Plaintiff,         ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS	
<ul> <li>3</li> <li>4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8 BRYAN EDWARD MAZZA, No. C-11-4632 EMC (pr)</li> <li>9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8 BRYAN EDWARD MAZZA, No. C-11-4632 EMC (pr)</li> <li>9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS</li> </ul>	
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 7 8 BRYAN EDWARD MAZZA, No. C-11-4632 EMC (pr) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS	
<ul> <li>5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8 BRYAN EDWARD MAZZA, No. C-11-4632 EMC (pr)</li> <li>9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8 BRYAN EDWARD MAZZA, No. C-11-4632 EMC (pr)</li> <li>9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>8 BRYAN EDWARD MAZZA, No. C-11-4632 EMC (pr)</li> <li>9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS</li> </ul>	
9 Plaintiff, ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS	
ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS	
10 v.	
11 V. CULLEN, Associate Warden, <i>et al.</i> ,	
12 Defendants.	
13	
14	
15 The Ninth Circuit has requested this Court to determine whether <i>in forma pauperis</i> status	
16 should continue for Plaintiff on appeal in this action or whether the appeal is frivolous or not take	n
17 in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). The Court now certifies that the appeal is not taken in	
18 good faith and revokes <i>in forma pauperis</i> status for Plaintiff on appeal in this action.	
19	
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	
22 Dated: March 19, 2014	
23 EDWARD M. CHEN	
24   United States District Judge	
25	
26	
27	
28	