1	DANIEL B. ASIMOW (No. 165661)	ALLEN J. RUBY (SB No. 47109)		
	daniel.asimow@aporter.com	Allen.Ruby@skadden.com		
2	ROBERT D. HALLMAN (No. 239949)	DAVID W. HANSEN (SB No. 196958)		
3	robert.hallman@aporter.com	David.Hansen@skadden.com		
3	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	JAMES P. SCHAEFER (SB No. 250417)		
4	Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor	James.Schaefer@skadden.com		
-	San Francisco, CA 94111-4024	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &		
5	Telephone: 415.471.3100 Facsimile: 415.471.3400	FLOM LLP		
_	Facsimile: 415.4/1.3400	525 University Avenue, Suite 1100 Palo Alto, California 94301		
6	IRA GOTTLIEB (admitted pro hac vice)	Telephone: (650) 470-4500		
7	igottlieb@mccarter.com	Facsimile: (650) 470-4500		
′	RICHARD HERNANDEZ (admitted pro hac vic	,		
8	rhernandez@mccarter.com	JAMES A. KEYTE (admitted pro hac vice)		
	JONATHAN SHORT (admitted pro hac vice)	James.Keyte@skadden.com		
9	jshort@mccarter.com	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &		
	McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP	FLOM LLP		
10	Four Gateway Center	Four Times Square		
11	100 Mulberry Street	New York, NY 10036		
11	Newark, New Jersey 07102	Telephone: (212) 735-3000		
12	Telephone: 973.622.4444	Facsimile: (917) 777-3000		
_	Facsimile: 973.624.7070			
13	A C. DI : .: CC	Attorneys for Defendant,		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	SANDISK CORPORATION		
14	PNY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			
15				
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
16				
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
1 /	PNY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No.: C-11-04689 WHO		
18	Try reem (ee e e e e e			
	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER		
19		MODIFYING PRETRIAL SCHEDULE		
20	VS.			
20	SANDISK CORPORATION,			
21	,			
.	Defendant.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
25				
26				
_				
27				
28				

Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff PNY Technologies, Inc. ("PNY"), and Defendant SanDisk Corporation ("SanDisk"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to and respectfully request that the Court enter an order extending certain case management deadlines.

The primary basis for this request is that the parties recently completed a trial in their state court matter in Santa Clara County (SanDisk Corporation v. PNY Technologies, Inc., Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, Case No. 1:11-cv-205928). Trial proceedings began on January 28, 2014 and the jury returned its verdict on March 10, 2014. The state court trial took longer than the parties expected and disrupted the parties' ability to complete discovery in accordance with the prior case management order. In addition, post-trial proceedings are likely to consume additional time over the next several weeks.

In addition, SanDisk's Motion to Dismiss PNY's Second Amended Complaint is scheduled to be heard on April 9, 2014, and the Court's ruling on this motion may affect discovery in this case.

Accordingly, the parties propose the following changes to the Court's November 19, 2013 case management order:

Event	Current Date	Proposed Modified Date
Discovery cutoff:	April 18, 2014	June 24, 2014
Expert disclosure:	May 23, 2014	July 31, 2014
Expert rebuttal:	June 20, 2014	September 1, 2014
Expert discovery cutoff:	July 18, 2014	September 24, 2014
Motions heard by:	October 8, 2014	November 12, 2014

Prior time modifications in this case consist of (a) the November 3, 2011 Stipulation and Order providing SanDisk with additional time to respond to PNY's complaint, so that SanDisk's response was due on November 9, 2011, (b) the November 16, 2011 Stipulation and Order setting an extended briefing schedule and hearing date on SanDisk's motion to dismiss PNY's original

1	complaint, and (c) the May 16, 2012 Order extending the time for PNY to file its First Amended			
2	Complaint, (d) the July 19, 2012 Order modifying the briefing schedule with respect to SanDisk's			
3	Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint, and (e) the Court's November 19, 2013 Order			
4	modifying the pretrial schedule.	modifying the pretrial schedule.		
5				
6				
7	DATED: March 18, 2014	ARN	NOLD & PORTER LLP	
8				
9		By:	/s/ Daniel B. Asimow	
10			DANIEL B. ASIMOW	
11			Attorneys for Plaintiff PNY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
12				
13	DATED: March 10, 2014	OTZ A	DDEN ADDO OF ATE MEACHED & FLOMILD	
14	DATED: March 18, 2014	SKA	ADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
15		By:	/s/ James P. Schaefer	
16		Dy.	JAMES P. SCHAEFER	
17			Attorneys for Defendant	
18			SANDISK CORPORATION	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

ORDER

The Court will hold a Case Management Conference after the hearing on SanDisk's Motion to Dismiss PNY's Second Amended Complaint on April 9, 2014 to modify the case management schedule. It is not necessary to file a Joint Case Management Statement unless there are additional issues the parties would like to bring to the Court's attention. In light of the verdict in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, I would agree to adjust the schedule as requested by the parties. However, before setting new dates, I would like to talk with the parties about (i) the effect on the schedule, if any, that my ruling on the motion will have, (ii) what impact the verdict has on the mediation or other resolution of the case, and (iii) the need to set a new trial date to accommodate the revised case management schedule. If the proposed schedule becomes the final schedule, I would continue the trial until February 17, 2015, assuming that date is convenient to counsel, to allow three months from the last day to hear dispositive motions until the trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 19, 2014

WILLIAN

VILLIAM H. UKKICK INITED STATES DISTRI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE