Edwards et al	 National Milk Producers Federation et al 		Doc. 155
	Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document1	.54 Filed04/16/13 Page1 of 9	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SARAH E. MALKERSON (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Email: smalkerson@eimerstahl.com 224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100		
9			
	[Additional Counsel Appear on Signature Page]		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	MATTHEW EDWARDS, GEORGIA BROWNE, and TORAH MONTESSORI	CASE NO.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW	
16	SCHOOL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[consolidated with 11-cv-04791-JSW and 11-cv-05253-JSW]	
17	Plaintiffs,	-	
18	V.	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>	
19	NATIONAL MILK PRODUCERS	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE THE USE	
20	FEDERATION aka COOPERATIVES WORKING TOGETHER; DAIRY FARMERS	OF PREDICTIVE CODING TECHNOLOGY	
21 22	OF AMERICA, INC.; LAND O'LAKES, INC.; DAIRYLEA COOPERATIVE INC.; and AGRI-MARK, INC.,	ACTION FILED: September 26, 2011	
22	Defendants.		
23			
25			
25 26			
20			
28			
20			
	JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE THE USE OF PREDICTI	VE CODING TECHNOLOGY	
	CASE NO.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW	Docket	s.Justia.com
		Donote	

Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document154 Filed04/16/13 Page2 of 9

Plaintiffs and Defendant Land O'Lakes, Inc. (collectively "the Parties" and each, individually, a Party) hereby stipulate, agree, and propose as follows:

I.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

DEFINITIONS

A. "Document review corpus" refers to the body of documents remaining after the exclusion of known system files, incompatible file types (*e.g.*, database files), duplicates, and documents that fall outside the agreed date range.

B. "Confidence level" means the statistical reliability of a result and in this instance refers to the likelihood that a measurement reached through sampling is accurate.

C. "Estimation interval" refers to the statistical error rate of a measured confidence level.

11

II. PROTOCOL

12 The Parties have discussed the methodologies or protocols for the search and review of 13 documents collected from Land O'Lakes and have agreed that Land O' Lakes will utilize 14 Recommind's Axcelerate software for its review workflow and production in this case. Axcelerate 15 incorporates predictive coding (also known as technology-assisted review) functionality, which Land 16 O'Lakes will leverage for a more cost efficient and higher quality review. The Parties agree that the 17 following protocol will be followed to identify potentially responsive documents for review:

<u>Step One – Document Collection</u>: Land O'Lakes will collect documents in the
 possession, custody, or control of each custodian agreed upon by the Parties and in additional
 locations under the possession, custody, or control of Land O'Lakes (such as shared drives or
 departmental files) immediately upon entry of this order and isolate the document review corpus.

22 2. <u>Step Two – Control Set</u>: After loading the document review corpus into Axcelerate,
 23 Land O'Lakes will generate an initial control set of documents. The control set will be a random,
 24 statistically valid sampling of documents to estimate the number of responsive documents in the
 25 corpus. The control set sample shall be determined using a 95% confidence level and 2% estimation
 26 interval. The control set sample will then be reviewed for responsiveness and privilege, and Land
 27 O'Lakes will provide Plaintiffs with a tracking report of the results of the control set generation and
 28 review. At any point during the predictive coding process, Land O'Lakes' e-discovery liaison will

1

Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document154 Filed04/16/13 Page3 of 9

meet and confer at Plaintiffs' request to discuss the results and method of the process. Land O'Lakes
 agrees to confer in good faith regarding the incorporation of any input from Plaintiffs on the
 application process.

3. <u>Step Three – Seed Set and Initial Training</u>: All responsive documents identified during review of the control set will be included in an initial seed set, which will be used to train Axcelerate to identify other potentially responsive documents in the document review corpus. The seed set also will include responsive documents identified by Land O'Lakes through the use of search and analytical tools, which will include the following:

- a) Approximately 400 documents already identified as responsive by Land O'Lakes;
- b) The 500 most highly ranked¹ responsive documents hit upon by an application of the Boolean search terms selected by Land O'Lakes (listed in Appendix A), excluding documents already contained in (a), above; and
 - c) The 500 most highly ranked responsive documents hit upon by application of Boolean search terms selected by Plaintiffs (listed in Appendix A), excluding documents already contained in (a) and (b), above.

Land O'Lakes will provide Plaintiffs with a search hit count of all searches conducted and will produce all non-privileged documents included in the seed set.

4. <u>Step Four – Iterative Review and Further Training</u>: Once Axcelerate has identified and prioritized potentially responsive documents based on the initial training, Land O'Lakes will review a sample set of those documents for responsiveness. The sample set will consist of at least the 500 documents ranked most highly by Axcelerate in order of relevance. After this second round of review, the system will be trained again based on reviewer feedback to identify and prioritize more potentially responsive documents. Land O'Lakes will provide Plaintiffs with tracking data for this round of review.

5. <u>Step Five – Review</u>: Land O'Lakes will then conduct a manual review of all documents identified by Axcelerate as potentially responsive. During this review, the iterative

JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE THE USE OF PREDICTIVE CODING TECHNOLOGY CASE NO.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW

¹ Axcelerate ranks returned documents in order of responsiveness to search terms.

Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document154 Filed04/16/13 Page4 of 9

process will continue; as more responsive documents are reviewed and coded, they will be used to further hone the system's ability to identify and prioritize other potentially responsive documents among the remaining, unreviewed portion of the document review corpus. Newly identified documents also will be subject to manual review. Review and iterative training will proceed until all identified documents have been reviewed and the system indicates that the remainder of the document review corpus is not likely to be responsive. Land O'Lakes will continue to provide tracking data to Plaintiffs, and all responsive, non-privileged documents will be produced.

Step Six – Validation: After Land O'Lakes has conducted enough rounds of 6. 8 9 iterative review and training to generate a conclusion from Axcelerate that the remaining documents in the document review corpus are not likely to be responsive, Land O'Lakes will perform a 10 validation test by reviewing a statistically valid and random sampling of unreviewed documents to 11 confirm that the number of potentially responsive documents in the unreviewed corpus is statistically 12 insignificant. Using a 99% confidence level and a 1% estimation interval, a random sampling of the 13 unreviewed documents will be reviewed for responsiveness. If the number of responsive documents 14 in the validation sampling results in higher than a 1% responsiveness rate, the review process will 15 continue until the validation test achieves a 1% or less responsiveness rate. All tracking data 16 regarding the validation process will be provided to the plaintiffs, and any responsive, non-privileged 17 documents identified during the process will be produced. 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE TO THE ABOVE TERMS.

3

DATED: April 16, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ Matthew S. Kahn George A. Nicoud (SBN 106111) Matthew S. Kahn (SBN 261679) GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-2933 Telephone: (415) 393-8200 Fax: (415) 393-8200 tnicoud@gibsondunn.com mkahn@gibsondunn.com

By:/s/ Nathan P. Eimer Nathan P. Eimer (pro hac vice) Vanessa G. Jacobsen (pro hac vice)

	Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document154 Filed04/16/13 Page5 of 9	
1 2	Daniel D. Birk <i>(pro hac vice)</i> Sarah E. Malkerson <i>(pro hac vice)</i> EIMER STAHL LLP 224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100	
3	Chicago, Illinois 60604 Phone: (312) 660-7601	
4	Fax: (312) 692-1718 neimer@eimerstahl.com	
5	vjacobsen@eimerstahl.com dbirk@eimerstahl.com	
6	smalkerson@eimerstahl.com	
7	Attorneys for Defendant Land O' Lakes, Inc.	
8 9	By: <u>/s/ Elaine T. Byszewski</u> Elaine T. Byszewski HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
10	301 North Lake Avenue, Suite 203 Pasadena, CA 91101	
11	Telephone (213) 330-7150 Facsimile (213) 330-7152	
12	elaine@hbsslaw.com Steve W. Berman	
13	George W. Sampson Craig R. Spiegel	
14	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300	
15	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone (206) 623-7292	
16	Facsimile (206) 623-7272 Facsimile (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com	
17	george@hbsslaw.com craig@hbsslaw.com	
18	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs	
19		
20	ATTESTATION: I, Matthew S. Kahn, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been	
21	obtained from each of the other signatories.	
22		
23	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: April 17, 2013	
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
28		
	4 JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE THE USE OF PREDICTIVE CODING TECHNOLOGY	
	CASE NO.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW	

CASE NO.: 3:11-CV-04766-JSW

	Case3:11-cv-04766-JSW Document154 Filed04/16/13 Page6 of 9
1	<u>Appendix A: Search Terms</u>
2	Land O'Lakes' Search Terms
3	"cooperatives working together" nmpf OR "national milk producers federation"
4	herd /10 retir* cow /10 retir*
5	cwt AND retir* "self help"
6	"herd buyout" "milk diversion program"
7	cwt AND beef
8	cwt /5 program export /10 assistance
9	cwt /5 ambassador cwt AND (independent /5 producer)
10	cwt AND (independent /5 farmer) cwt AND (target /10 remov*)
11	cwt AND cull* cwt AND slaughter*
12	cwt AND "field staff" cwt AND assessment
12	cwt AND delegate cwt AND (milk /5 suppl*)
	cwt AND (price /10 increas*) cwt AND contribut*
14	cooperative /10 individual
15	cwt AND (cow /5 numbers) cwt AND "farm id"
16	cents /5 hundredweight amount /10 checks
17	cwt AND bid* cwt AND "leadership council"
18	cwt /10 member*
19	cwt /10 summary cwt AND presentation
20	<u>Plaintiffs' Search Terms</u>
21	buyout
22	reentry re-entry
23	reenter re-enter
	rejoin
24	re-join join /10 again
25	price /10 increase price /10 rise
26	price /10 raise price /10 hike
27	price /10 stabiliz*
28	price /10 maintain price /10 maintenance
	5
	5 JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE THE USE OF PREDICTIVE CODING TECHNOLOGY