

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FRANK PABST, an individual, on behalf  
of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GENESCO, INC., a Tennessee  
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C 11-01592 (SI)

**STIPULATED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER  
GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES  
SHOULD BE RELATED**

[Civ. L.R. 3-12, 7-11]

Ctrm: 10, 19th Floor  
Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

Complaint Filed: March 4, 2011  
Trial Date: Not Set

On October 18, 2011, plaintiff Frank Pabst (“Plaintiff” or “Pabst”) and defendant Genesco, Inc. (“Genesco,” and jointly with Plaintiff, “the Parties”) filed a stipulated administrative motion to consider whether the following cases should be related pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11:

1. *Pabst v. Genesco, Inc.*, U.S. District Court in and for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. C 11-01592 (SI) (the “*Pabst* Action”); and
2. *Fraser v. Genesco, Inc.*, U.S. District Court in and for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division, Case No. C 11-04881 (LB) (the “*Fraser* Action,” and collectively with the *Pabst* Action, the “Actions”).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Having considered the papers submitted in support of the Parties' motion, the Court, for good cause shown, hereby **GRANTS** the motion.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** the *Fraser* Action is related to the *Pabst* Action and is re-assigned to this Court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 24 2011

By   
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
U.S. District Court Judge