

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 Northern District of California

3
 4 CHARLIE LLOYD III,

No. C 11-4902 MEJ

5 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
 FILE CONSENT/DECLINATION
 FORM**

6 v.

7 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

8 Defendant.

9 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's ifp application. Upon review of the record in this
 10 action, the Court notes that Plaintiff has not filed a written consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction
 11 jurisdiction. This civil case was randomly assigned to the undersigned magistrate judge for all
 12 purposes including trial. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the magistrate judges of this district
 13 court are designated to conduct any and all proceedings in a civil case, including trial and entry of
 14 final judgment, upon the consent of the parties. An appeal from a judgment entered by a magistrate
 15 judge may be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in the same
 16 manner as an appeal from any other judgment of a district court.

17 You have the right to have your case assigned to a United States District Judge for trial and
 18 disposition. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall inform the Court whether Plaintiff consents to magistrate
 19 judge jurisdiction or requests reassignment to a United States District Judge for trial. A copy of both
 20 the consent and declination forms may be obtained from the Northern District of California's website
 21 at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/>. From the homepage, choose "Forms" and then "Civil Forms."
 22 The consent/declination form shall be filed by October 21, 2011.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
 25 Dated: October 7, 2011

26 
 27 Maria-Elena James
 28 Chief United States Magistrate Judge