

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\*E-Filed 12/12/11\*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STEVE CRUMP,

Petitioner,

v.

CHARLES PLUMMER,

Respondent.

No. C 11-4920 RS (PR)

**ORDER DISMISSING PETITION  
WITH LEAVE TO AMEND****INTRODUCTION**

This appears to be a federal habeas corpus action filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by a *pro se* state prisoner. Petitioner filed a first, and now has filed a second petition. The second petition is now before the Court for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243 and Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The \$5.00 filing fee for habeas corpus actions has been paid.

**BACKGROUND**

It is unclear from the petition the current state of petitioner's convictions. At different points, petitioner indicates that he has been convicted, that his trial ended in a mistrial, that he was retried, or is facing retrial. Petitioner must clear up these ambiguities in his amended

No. C 11-4920 RS (PR)  
ORDER DISMISSING PETITION

1 petition.

2 **DISCUSSION**

3 This Court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person in  
4 custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in  
5 violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).  
6 A district court considering an application for a writ of habeas corpus shall “award the writ  
7 or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted,  
8 unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled  
9 thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Summary dismissal is appropriate only where the allegations in  
10 the petition are vague or conclusory, palpably incredible, or patently frivolous or false. *See*  
11 *Hendricks v. Vasquez*, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir. 1990).

12 It is unclear whether petitioner wishes to challenge the constitutional validity of his  
13 conviction, or bring suit against the police for violating his rights, or both. Petitioner may  
14 not do both in the same action, and must make it clear which legal course he means to take  
15 by filing this action. Accordingly, the petition is DISMISSED WITH LEAVE TO AMEND.  
16 As to his civil rights allegations, petitioner is reminded that in order to recover damages for  
17 an allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment, or for other harm caused by  
18 actions whose unlawfulness would render a conviction or sentence invalid, a civil rights  
19 plaintiff must prove that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal,  
20 expunged by executive order, declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make such  
21 determination, or called into question by a federal court’s issuance of a writ of habeas corpus.  
22 *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486–487 (1994). A claim for damages bearing on that  
23 relationship to a conviction or sentence that has not been so invalidated is not cognizable  
24 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *Id.* at 487. **For petitioner, this means that if his convictions are**  
25 **currently valid, he is barred from pursuing a civil rights action against any state actor**  
26 **for actions whose unlawfulness would render his convictions or sentences invalid.**

27 Petitioner is also reminded that the filing fee for a civil rights action is \$350.00, and that if he  
28

1 intends the instant action to be a civil rights suit, the Court likely will dismiss the instant  
2 action with leave to refile the action as a civil rights suit.

3           Petitioner shall file an amended petition addressing the concerns detailed above within  
4 30 days from the date this order is filed. The amended petition must include the caption and  
5 civil case number used in this order (11-4920 RS (PR)) and the words AMENDED  
6 PETITION on the first page. Because an amended petition completely replaces the previous  
7 petitions, petitioner must include in his first amended petition all the claims he wishes to  
8 present. Petitioner may not incorporate material from the prior petition by reference. Failure  
9 to file an amended petition in accordance with this order will result in dismissal of this action  
10 with prejudice for failure to prosecute under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

11           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 DATED: December 12, 2011

  
13 RICHARD SEEBORG  
14 United States District Judge

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28