	Plaintiffs,		
3	v.		
4	CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S		
5	UNDER POLICY NO. B0146LDUSA0701030 and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
6	Defendants.		
7		CASE NO. 3:11-cv-6368-JCS	
8	OAKDALE HEIGHTS REDDING 1, LLC; et al.,		
9	Plaintiffs,		
10	v.		
11	CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S		
12	UNDER POLICY NO. B0146LDUSA0701030 and DOES 1 through		
13	100, inclusive,		
14	Defendants.		
15	Plaintiffs William Ambrosio, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-04956-RS, by and through		
16	their counsel of record, George Donaldson, Esq. from the Law Office of George Donaldson;		
17	Plaintiffs Michael Alvarado, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-04957-RS, by and through their		
18	counsel of record, Jeffrey A. Feldman, Esq. from the Law Offices of Jeffrey A. Feldman;		
19	Plaintiffs William Jamison, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-04958-RS, by and through their		
20	counsel of record, Val Hornstein, Esq. from the Hornstein Law Offices;		
21	Plaintiffs Wood River Capital Resources, LLC, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-05759-RS,		
22	by and through their counsel of record, Jeffery J. Swanson, Esq. from the Law Offices of Jeffery		
23	J. Swanson;		
24	Plaintiffs Henry James Anderson, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-5760-RS, by and through		
25	their counsel of record, Richard S. Miller, Esq. from the Law Offices of Richard S. Miller;		
26	Plaintiffs Roseville Capital Resources, LLC, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-5761-RS, by		
27	and through their counsel of record, Troy A. Thielemann, Esq. from Cappello & Noel LLP;		
28		-3-	
	BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR AL	T TO L.R. 3-12; AND (2) FOR AN ORDER ADOPTING SAME L OF DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND STRIKE 3:11-cv-04956-RS	
	SF/2635722v1		

DEAN G. NOWACKI; et al.,

CASE NO. 4:11-cv-6366-LB

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

F	Plaintiffs Dean G. Nowacki, et al., Case Number 4:11-cv-6	6366-LB, presently before the
Honorab	ble Laurel Beeler, by and through their counsel of record, I	Patrick L. Deedon, Esq. from
Maire &	& Burgess;	

Plaintiffs Oakdale Heights Redding 1, LLC, et al., Case Number 3:11-cv-6368-JCS, presently before the Honorable Joseph C. Spero, by and through their counsel of record, Patrick L. Deedon, Esq. from Maire & Burgess; and

Defendant Brit UW Limited ("Brit"), Case Numbers 3:11-cv-04956-RS; 3:11-cv-04957-RS; 3:11-cv-04958-RS; 3:11-cv-05759-RS; 3:11-cv-5760-RS; 3:11-cv-5761-RS; 4:11-cv-6366-LB; and 3:11-cv-6368-JCS, by and through its counsel of record, Michael L. Fox, Esq. of Sedgwick LLP, hereby stipulate as follows:

The six actions filed by Plaintiffs William Ambrosio, et al., Michael Alvarado, et al., William Jamison, et al., Wood River Capital Resources, LLC, et al., Henry James Anderson, et al., and Roseville Capital Resources, LLC, et al. (collectively "the Related Cases") have been deemed related and are pending before the Honorable Richard Seeborg because all six concern substantially the same parties, events and request for relief, so assignment to separate judges would have involved unnecessary duplication of labor, cost and conflicting results. (ECF No. 22 in Case No. 3:11-cv-04956-RS.)

Similarly, the two actions filed by Plaintiffs Dean G. Nowacki, et al. and Oakdale Heights Redding 1, LLC, et al. (collectively "the New Cases") were removed to the Northern District of California on December 15, 2011. Both of the New Cases concern substantially the same parties, events and request for relief as each of the other New Cases and the Related Cases. Therefore, assignment to separate judges would involve unnecessary duplication of labor, cost and conflicting results.

Therefore, the parties, by and through their counsel of record, stipulate that the New Cases should be related to each other and to the Related Cases, with the earliest filed case, pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12.

Brit has already filed Motions to Dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
12(b)(6) and Motions to Strike pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f) in the Related
Cases. The Court has already set the following briefing schedule for those motions: (1) the
hearing on the Motions to Dismiss and the Motions to Strike filed in the six related cases is
scheduled for February 2, 2012, at 1:30 p.m.; (2) Plaintiffs' opposition papers to Brit's motions
will be due January 3, 2012; and (3) and Brit's reply papers will be due January 17, 2012. (ECF
No. 22 in Case No. 3:11-cv-04956-RS.)
Daile and also des Cilias also il a Madiana de Diancias and Jan Madiana de Chaile in the Mass

Brit anticipates filing similar Motions to Dismiss and/or Motions to Strike in the New Cases. Plaintiffs and Brit desire to establish a single hearing date relating to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss and Motions to Strike filed in the New Cases and the Related Cases, to allow for the filing of coordinated and/or joint opposition papers by Plaintiffs, to the extent possible, in order to eliminate unnecessary duplication of labor and cost. If Brit files its motions on or before December 20, 2011, the New Cases can adopt the same briefing schedule and hearing date as already set in the Related Cases (ECF No. 22 in Case No. 3:11-cv-04956-RS), without any modification.

Therefore, the parties, by and through their counsel of record, further stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, that (1) Brit shall file its Motions to Dismiss and/or Motions to Strike in the New Cases no later than December 20, 2011; (2) the hearing for the Motions to Dismiss and the Motions to Strike filed in all eight cases will be scheduled for February 2, 2012, at 1:30 p.m.; (3) Plaintiffs' opposition papers to Brit's motions will be due January 3, 2012; and (4); and Brit's reply papers will be due January 17, 2012.

IT SO STIPULATED.

DATED: December 16, 2011 SEDGWICK LLP

By: /s/ Michael L. Fox
Michael L. Fox
Attorneys for Defendant
BRIT UW LIMITED
(sued as "Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's
Under Policy No. B0146LDUSA701030")

-5-

Sedgwick