

1
2
3
4
5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

6 WILLIAM AMBROSIO, *et al.*,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT
10 LLOYD'S UNDER POLICY NO.
B0146LDUSA0701030 *and* DOES 1-100,
11 *inclusive*,

12 Defendants.

13 AND RELATED ACTIONS

No. C 11-04956 RS
No. C 11-04958 RS
No. C 11-05760 RS
No. C 11-05761 RS
No. C 11-06366 RS
No. C 11-06368 RS

**ORDER SCHEDULING HEARING ON
MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

14 Defendant's pending motions to dismiss in these related six actions were originally taken
15 under submission without oral argument pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b). Upon further review,
16 the Court hereby orders the parties to appear for a hearing on the motions at 1:30 p.m. on Thursday,
17 August 9, 2012 in Courtroom 3, 17th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, in San Francisco, California.
18 No further briefing is expected, however, the parties may wish to prepare to address the following
19 matters:


- 20 1. The holding of *Pacific Gas & Elec. Co. v. G. W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co.*, 69 Cal.
21 2d 33 (1968).
22 2. Accepting, for purposes of argument, the *Jamison* plaintiffs' interpretation of the Partial
23 Professional Services Exclusion as it applies to the ePlanning subsidiaries, what
24 distinguishes a "direct" connection from an "indirect" connection? How does the asserted
25 distinction apply to the alleged facts?
26 3. Is consolidation appropriate for future proceedings?
27

28 IT IS SO ORDERED.

ORDER
No. C 11-04956
AND RELATED ACTIONS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 7-27-12



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE