1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 GREGORY M. HAYNES, 7 Case No. 11-cv-05021-JST Plaintiff, 8 **ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR** v. 9 RECONSIDERATION CHRISTIAN HANSON, et al., Re: ECF No. 82 10 Defendants. 11 12 13 Plaintiff has filed an "Objection to Orders and Request For Vacation of Orders," ECF No. 82, which the Court construes as a motion for reconsideration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-9. 14 15 Leave of court is required before such motion may be filed, and the motion for leave must show, inter alia, "a material difference in fact or law," "[t]he emergence of new material facts or a 16 change of law," or "[a] manifest failure by the Court to consider material facts or dispositive legal 17 arguments which were presented to the Court." Civil Local Rule 7-9(a), (b). Plaintiff has not 18 19 requested leave of court and has not made the required showing. The motion is DENIED. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** 20 Dated: August 2, 2013 21 22 23 JON S. TIGAR Jnited States District 24 25 26 27 28