

## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 Northern District of California

3

4 MICHAEL W. OGIN

5 Plaintiff(s),

6

v.

## 7 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE

8 Defendant(s).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

## 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's ifp application. Upon review of the record in this action, the Court notes that Plaintiff has not filed a written consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction jurisdiction. This civil case was randomly assigned to the undersigned magistrate judge for all purposes including trial. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the magistrate judges of this district court are designated to conduct any and all proceedings in a civil case, including trial and entry of final judgment, upon the consent of the parties. An appeal from a judgment entered by a magistrate judge may be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in the same manner as an appeal from any other judgment of a district court.

You have the right to have your case assigned to a United States District Judge for trial and disposition. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall inform the Court whether he consents to magistrate judge jurisdiction or requests reassignment to a United States District Judge for trial. A copy of both the consent and declination forms may be obtained from the Northern District of California's website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/>. From the homepage, choose "Forms" and then "Civil Forms." The consent/declination form shall be filed by November 10, 2011.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24

25 Dated: October 20, 2011

26

27

28

  
26 Maria-Elena James  
27 Chief United States Magistrate Judge