

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR,

Petitioner,

v.

A. HEDGEPATH, et al.

Respondents.

No. C-11-5088 TEH (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL; GRANTING
PETITIONER'S REQUEST TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS

_____/ Doc. #3

Petitioner Jeffrey Lamont Taylor, a state prisoner incarcerated at California State Prison - Corcoran, has filed a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging prison conditions. Specifically, Petitioner alleges that prison officials were deliberately indifferent to his medical needs in violation of his constitutional rights under the Eighth Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment. Doc. #1 at 3-4. The Petition also contains allegations that Petitioner's legal files were discarded, that prison officials retaliated against Petitioner for his use of the grievance system, and that prison officials falsified medical reports. Petitioner also seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis

1 under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Doc. #3

2 Good cause appearing, Petitioner's application to proceed
3 in forma pauperis is GRANTED. Doc. #3

4 Petitioner's challenges to the conditions of his
5 confinement are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to filing a civil rights
6 complaint under 42 U.S.C § 1983. Although the Supreme Court has not
7 addressed whether a challenge to a condition of confinement may be
8 brought under habeas, see Bell v Wolfish, 441 US 520, 526 n6 (1979),
9 the Ninth Circuit has held that habeas jurisdiction is absent, and a
10 Section 1983 action proper, where, as here, a successful challenge
11 to a prison condition will not necessarily shorten the prisoner's
12 sentence. See Ramirez v Galaza, 334 F.3d 850, 859 (9th Cir. 2003);
13 see also Badea v Cox, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1991) (civil
14 rights action is proper method of challenging conditions of
15 confinement); Crawford v Bell, 599 F.2d 890, 891-92 & n1 (9th Cir
16 1979) (affirming dismissal of habeas petition on basis that
17 challenges to terms and conditions of confinement must be brought in
18 civil rights complaint).

19 A certificate of appealability will be denied with respect
20 to Petitioner's claim. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(a); Rules
21 Governing Habeas Corpus Cases Under § 2254, Rule 11 (requiring
22 district court to issue or deny certificate of appealability when
23 entering final order adverse to petitioner). Specifically,
24 Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of
25 a constitutional right, as he has not demonstrated that reasonable
26 jurists would find the Court's assessment of the constitutional
27 claims debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(2000).

The Clerk shall terminate any pending motions as moot,
enter judgment in accordance with this order and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED 01/11/2012



THELTON E. HENDERSON
United States District Judge

G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.11\Taylor-11-5088-dism-hc-as-cr.wpd

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR,
Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV11-05088 TEH
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

A. HEDGEPATH et al,
Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on January 12, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Jeffrey Lamont Taylor #:D-41759
California State Prison
(SHU, 4B-2L-27)
P.O. Box 3481
Corcoran, CA 93212

Dated: January 12, 2012



Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Tana Ingle, Deputy Clerk