Ddc. 17

Valle et al v. Lowe's HIW, Inc.

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs Catherine Jane Valle and Don Perolino Cristobal ("Plaintiffs") by and through their counsel of record and Lowe's HIW, Inc. ("Lowe's") by and through its counsel of record, as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed this action in San Mateo County Superior Court on September 8, 2011;

WHEREAS, Defendant removed this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on October 24, 2011 [Doc. No. 1], and filed a Motion to Dismiss on October 31, 2011 [Doc. No. 8];

WHEREAS, in the interest of judicial economy, the parties wish to avoid any waste of Court resources on the Motion to Dismiss.

THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and hereby request that:

- 1. The parties agree that Plaintiffs' demand for arbitration in AAA Case No. 73 460 00332 11 can be amended forthwith by Plaintiffs to allege a Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") claim based upon the Labor Code violations alleged in the current arbitration demand;
- 2. The parties' agreement to the amendment of the demand is not and will not be construed as an admission by either party that the PAGA claim is permitted or prohibited by the arbitration agreement, and the parties agree that the arbitrator shall not consider this stipulation or the parties' agreement to the amendment of the demand when determining the proper scope of the arbitration;
- 3. The parties agree that their respective arguments and positions concerning arbitrability and the arbitration clause construction, including arguments and positions directed to the scope of the arbitration (which, in turn, shall be determined by the arbitrator per the terms of this Court's August 22, 2011 order in Related Case No. 11-1489 SC), are hereby preserved;

- 1		
1	4. The instant action, Case No CV 11-5168, will be voluntarily dismissed	
2	without prejudice to allow the PAGA claim to be alleged in the arbitration demand,	
3	with each side to bear its own fees and costs with respect to Case No CV 11-5168.	
4		
5	Dated: November 14, 2011	BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG &
6		BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK
7		By: /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug
8		By: /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug Kyle Nordrehaug Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9		Attorneys for Framinis
10	Dated: November 14, 2011	HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP
11		
12		By: /s/ Jason Kim Jason J. Kim
13		Attorneys for Defendant LOWE'S HIW, INC.
14		LOWL STILW, INC.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #06 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #2059 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066 Piya Mukherjee (State Bar #274217) 2255 Calle Clara La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: (858)551-1223 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232 Website: www.bamlawca.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	58687) 75)
$10 \mid$	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	CATHERINE JANE VALLE and	Case No.: C 11-5168 SC
15 16	DON PEROLINO CRISTOBAL, as the Representatives of the State of California,	[P ROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING THE ACTION
17	Plaintiffs,	
18		Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti Court No.: 1 - 17th Floor
19	VS.	Complaint Filed: September 8, 2011
20 21	LOWE'S HIW, INC.; a Washington Corporation,	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
25		
26		-
27		
28		

<u>ORDER</u>

The Court, having reviewed the parties' Stipulation for Dismissal, good cause appearing, hereby orders as follows:

1. The above entitled action is dismissed without prejudice, with each side to bear their own fees and costs with respect to Case No CV 11-5168.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 15, 2011

