[Proposed] Stipulated Case Management Order; Case No.: 3:11-cv-05188-SI

Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11(a) and 7-12, Plaintiffs Tamar Davis Larsen and Aran Eisenstat and Defendant Trader Joe's Company (collectively, the "Parties"), submit this Proposed Stipulated Case Management Order.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the operative Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 33) on March 23, 2012;

WHEREAS, also on March 23, 2012, the Case Management Conference took place. Although the Court issued Civil Pretrial Minutes (Dkt. 34) allowing Plaintiffs to further amend their complaint and setting a briefing schedule for Defendant's motion to dismiss, the Court did not sign the Proposed Order on Joint Case Management Conference or set deadlines for any events following a ruling on Defendant's motion to dismiss;

WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 38) on April 20, 2012;

WHEREAS, the Court granted in part and denied in part Defendant's Motion to Dismiss by Order (Dkt. 41) dated June 14, 2012;

WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Answer and Defenses to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 43) on June 29, 2012;

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2012, the Parties participated in a private mediation;

WHEREAS, following the private mediation, the Parties continued to engage in settlement discussions during July and August. The Parties negotiated in good faith, but so far have been unable to reach a settlement. After mediation, the Parties began to engage in discovery;

WHEREAS, the Parties have conferred and request the Court enter a scheduling order setting dates relating to class certification in this matter and deferring all other pre-trial scheduling until after the Court decides the issue of class certification. The Parties' proposed schedule accounts for both first party discovery of Plaintiffs and Defendant, as well as the anticipated third-party discovery of Defendant's product suppliers, the ingredient suppliers for the products at issue, and any necessary expert discovery for the purpose of class certification;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, by and through their respective attorneys of record and respectfully request that the Court enter this Proposed Stipulated Case Management Order setting forth the following briefing schedule for class certification:

EVENT	PROPOSED DEADLINE
Motion for Class Certification	March 1, 2013
Opposition to Motion for Class Certification	March 29, 2013
Reply in Support of Motion for Class	April 12, 2013
Certification	
Hearing on Motion for Class Certification	April 26, 2013
*Merits Discovery Cutoff	
*Expert Reports	
*Expert Discovery Cutoff	
*Dispositive Motion Cutoff	
*Other Motion Cutoff (Other than Motions in	
Limine)	
* Pretrial Conference (hearing on Motions in	
Limine, agreed jury instructions and verdict	
forms, proposed voir dire questions)	
* Pre-Trial Briefs	
*Trial Date	

* The Court will set a Case Management Conference following its ruling on the motion for class certification to schedule all additional class, merits, and other remaining pre-trial proceedings.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

DATED: October 1, 2012

By: s/ Joseph N. Kravec, Jr.

JOSEPH N. KRAVEC, JR. WYATT A. LISON MICHAEL D. BRAUN JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiffs TAMAR DAVIS LARSEN AND ARAN EISENSTAT

1	DATED: October 1, 2012	By: s/Randall W. Edwards via consent
2 3		CARLA CHRISTOFFERSON MARGARET A. MOESER KATE IDES
4		RANDALL W. EDWARDS
5		Attorneys for Defendant TRADER JOE'S COMPANY
6	I hereby attest that the concurrence in the fi	ling of this document has been obtained by the
7	above signatory indicated on the conformed signature (/s/) within this efiled document.	
8		s/ Joseph N. Kravec, Jr.
9		
10		
11	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDE	ERED.
12		
13	DATED:10/3	Sugar Materia
14		The Honorable Susan Illston
15		United States District Judge
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	4	

[Proposed] Stipulated Case Management Order; Case No.: 3:11-cv-05188-SI