1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
2 3	AMBER KRISTI MARSH and STACIE	CLASS ACTION
3 4	EVANS, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated persons,	Case No. 3:11-cv-05226-WHO
+ 5	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING FINAL
6	V.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT
7	ZAAZOOM SOLUTIONS, LLC, et al.,	WITH DEFENDANTS JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. AND FIRST
8	Defendants.	NATIONAL BAŃK OF CENTRAL TEXAS
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Case No. 3:11-cv-05226-WHO	ORDER GRANTING PLT'S MTN. FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

1 This matter came on for hearing on December 17, 2014 before the Honorable William 2 H. Orrick upon the motion of Plaintiff Amber Kristi Marsh and the Class she represents 3 (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), and not opposed by Defendants Jack Henry & Associates, Inc. ("Jack 4 Henry") and First National Bank of Central Texas ("FNBCT") (collectively, "Defendants") for 5 final approval of the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release last signed by a party on 6 August 5, 2014 (the "Agreement"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the members 7 of the Class, and the Court having considered the Agreement, all papers and proceedings held 8 herein, and all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed settlement, and having 9 reviewed the entire record in this action, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court finds that:

10 WHEREAS, a dispute arose between Plaintiff and Defendants due to alleged violations of 11 California and federal law stemming primarily from the collection or attempted collection of 12 Membership Fees for discount coupon subscriptions for Membership Programs from Settlement 13 Class Members' bank accounts through the medium of remotely created checks ("RCCs") drafted 14 by Jack Henry and the subsequent deposit of such RCCs in depository accounts maintained by 15 FNBCT. Named Plaintiff filed an initial class action complaint on May 6, 2011, a First Amended 16 Complaint on July 22, 2011, a Second Amended Complaint on September 23, 2011, and a Third 17 Amended Complaint ("TAC") on April 10, 2012;

18 WHEREAS, in the operative TAC, Plaintiff asserted claims for negligence, statutory 19 negligence under California Commercial Code § 3404, conversion, violation of California's 20 unfair business practices under Business & Professions Code § 17200, and violation of the 18 21 U.S.C. § 2510 (the "Wiretap Act") on behalf of (1) a nationwide class of persons who contend 22 that, inter alia, funds were withdrawn from their bank accounts without their knowledge, 23 permission, or authorization; and (2) a California subclass of persons who contend that, inter alia, 24 funds were withdrawn from their bank accounts without their knowledge, permission, or 25 authorization:

WHEREAS, in two rounds of motion to dismiss proceedings, this Court dismissed all of
Plaintiff's claims against FNBCT other than the common law negligence claim;

1

28

WHEREAS, after the two rounds of motion to dismiss proceedings, claims for violation

Case No. 3:11-cv-05226-WHO

ORDER GRANTING PLT'S MTN. FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT of the Business and Professions Code §17200, conversion, and negligence remained against Jack
 Henry;

WHEREAS, Defendants expressly deny the allegations of wrongdoing and violations of
law alleged in this action; and deny any liability whatsoever to Plaintiff and to the Class
Members;

WHEREAS on February 7, 2014 the Court certified the following Class:
All residents of California from whom Membership Fees were collected (or who
incurred Bank Account Fees in connection with a collection or attempted
collection of Membership Fees) by way of remotely created check(s) drafted by
Defendant Jack Henry & Associates, Inc. and deposited with First National Bank
of Central Texas, from May 6, 2007 to the date of the preliminary approval order
(the "Class"). [D.E. No. 265.]

WHEREAS, without admitting any liability, claim, or defense the parties determined that
it was mutually advantageous to settle this action and to avoid the costs, delay, uncertainty, and
business disruption of ongoing litigation;

WHEREAS, this Court granted preliminary approval of the parties' Agreement in this
action on September 11, 2014 [D.E. No. 304] ("Preliminary Approval Order");

18 WHEREAS, notice to the Class Members was sent in accordance with the Preliminary19 Approval Order; and

WHEREAS, a fairness hearing on the proposed settlement having been duly held and a
decision reached.

NOW, therefore, the Court grants final approval of the settlement, and IT IS HEREBY

23

22

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Order Granting Final Approval of Settlement ("Final Approval Order")
incorporates by reference the definitions set forth in the Agreement, and all terms used herein
shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Agreement.

27 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiff and all members of the
 28 Settlement Class, and the Court has subject matter jurisdiction to approve the settlement and the
 Case No. 3:11-cv-05226-WHO 2 ORDER GRANTING PLT'S MTN. FOR FINAL
 28 ORDER GRANTING PLT'S MTN. FOR FINAL

Agreement and all exhibits thereto. The Court will have continuing jurisdiction over this matter
 until all obligations stated in the Agreement have been complied with and thereafter if any issues
 pertaining to this action and/or the Agreement arise.

4

3. The Court has determined that the notice given to the Class fully and accurately
informed all persons in the Class of all material elements of the proposed settlement, including the
description of the planned allocation of the Cash Payment and the application for service award to
the Named Plaintiff and the application for an attorneys' fees award to Class Counsel, constituted
the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constituted valid, due, and sufficient notice to
all Class Members, and complied fully with the Class Action Fairness Act, Rule 23 of the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and other applicable laws.

4. The Court has determined that no member of the Class, and no other person,
requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, nor objected to the settlement.

The Court hereby grants final approval of the Agreement as fair, reasonable, and
adequate in all respects to the Class Members pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure, and orders the parties to consummate the settlement in accordance with the terms of
the Agreement.

17 6. The plan of distribution as set forth in the Agreement providing for the distribution
18 of the Net Settlement Amount to Class Members is approved as being fair, reasonable, and
19 adequate pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

7. The Court hereby finds that Class Counsel and Plaintiff adequately represented the
Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the settlement and this
Agreement.

8. The Court approves the payment of reasonable Settlement Administration Costs to
the Settlement Administrator, KCC Class Action Services ("KCC"), not to exceed \$28,832. In the
event that the Settlement Administrator's reasonable costs of administering the Settlement exceed
\$28,832, the Settlement Administrator shall file a declaration with the Court explaining the basis
for the costs above \$28,832 and seeking approval for payment of the additional reasonable
Settlement Administration Costs out of the amount remaining from the Net Settlement Amount.

3

Case No. 3:11-cv-05226-WHO

9. The Court approves an award of attorneys' fees of \$28,750 and costs and expenses
 of \$607.95 to Class Counsel, which shall be paid from, and not in addition to, the Settlement
 Fund.

4 10. The Court approves an award to Named Plaintiff Amber Kristi Marsh, on account
5 of her service to the Class, in the amount of \$1,000, which shall be paid from, and not in addition
6 to, the Settlement Fund.

7 11. The Court approves the payment of any unclaimed settlement proceeds to be
8 remitted under the *cy pres* doctrine to the Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic at
9 the University of California Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall).

10

10 12. Each party is to bear his, her or its own costs and attorneys' fees, except as 11 provided in the Agreement and as set forth above in this Final Judgment and Order. Without 12 affecting the finality of this Final Judgment and Order, the Court reserves exclusive and 13 continuing jurisdiction over the Action, the Named Plaintiff Amber Kristi Marsh, the Class, and 14 the Defendants Jack Henry and FNBCT for the purposes of supervising the implementation, 15 enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, 16 the distribution of the Settlement Fund, the Final Judgment, and this Order.

17 13. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and Order, and by operation of this Final
18 Judgment and Order, the claims of each Settlement Class Member against Jack Henry and
19 FNBCT, and against any and all of the Releasees as defined in the Agreement, are fully, finally,
20 and forever released, relinquished, and discharged pursuant to the terms of the Agreement.

21

14. By operation of this Final Judgment and Order, all members of the Settlement
 Class are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims against any of
 the Releasees as defined in the Agreement.

Each member of the Settlement Class is bound by this Final Judgment and Order,
including, without limitation, the release of claims as set forth in the Agreement.

26 16. This Final Judgment and Order and the Agreement, and all papers related thereto,
27 are not, and shall not be construed to be, an admission by Defendants of any liability, claim, or

4

28

1	wrongdoing whatsoever, and shall not be offered as evidence of any such liability, claim, or	
2	wrongdoing in this Action or in any other proceeding.	
3	17. The Court enters this Final Judgment and Order dismissing this Action as against	
4	FNBCT and Jack Henry only.	
5	18. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay of entry of this Final Judgment	
6	and hereby directs its entry.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.	
8	V. MOO	
9	Dated: December 17, 2014 The Honorable William H. Orrick	
10	United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Case No. 3:11-cv-05226-WHO 5 ORDER GRANTING PLT'S MTN. FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT	