

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MINDEN PICTURES, INC.,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 11-05385 WHA

v.


**NOTICE REGARDING  
ORAL ARGUMENT**

PEARSON EDUCATION, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

After reviewing the written submissions, the Court is of the view that oral argument is unnecessary and will proceed to issue an order soon and to vacate the hearing set for January 10. If, however, one counsel advises the Court in writing by **NOON ON JANUARY 7** that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer of four or fewer years out of law school (*see* Supplemental Order, dkt. no. 3 at ¶ 6), then the hearing will remain in place in order to provide that opportunity.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 2, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California