

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORGE SALHUANA, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. C 11-05386 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
WHY CASES SHOULD NOT  
BE CONSOLIDATED**

DIAMOND FOODS, INC, MICHAEL J.  
MENDES, and STEVEN M. NEIL,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
AND RELATED ACTIONS  
\_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to the Court’s order dated December 21, 2011, the following cases are related  
under Civil Local Rule 3-12:

C 11-05386 *Salhuana v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05399 *Mitchem v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05409 *Woodward v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05457 *Rall, et al. v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05479 *Simon v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

C 11-05615 *MacFarland v. Diamond Foods, Inc., et al.*

Any party objecting to the consolidation of these cases pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must show cause in writing no later than January 9, 2012, why  
the cases should not be consolidated, together with all cases related to them in the future. Any

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

response to such objections must be filed no later than January 17, at which time the matter will be deemed submitted.

The Court is aware that there is a related derivative action. That action will maintain its related status, but the Court does not propose consolidating that action with the above-stated actions.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 3, 2012.



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE