1	DOWNEY BRAND LLP JAMIE P. DREHER (Bar No. 209380) SPENCED W. CHRISTENSEN (Day No. 207154)		
2	SPENCER W. CHRISTENSEN (Bar No. 267154) 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-4731		
<i>3</i> 4	Telephone: (916) 444-1000 Facsimile: (916) 444-2100 jdreher@downeybrand.com schristensen@downeybrand.com		
5			
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JACKIE L. HIGH, individually and on	Case No. CV 11 5478	
12	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION'S	
14	v. THE CHOICE MANUFACTURING	DEADLINE TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS	
15	COMPANY, INC., MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION, and DOES 3 through 20,		
16	inclusive,		
17	Defendant.		
18		1	
19	WHEREAS, on January 11, 2012, plaintiff Jackie L. High ("Plaintiff") filed her First		
20	Amended Complaint ("Complaint") against The Choice Manufacturing Company, Inc.		
21	("Choice") and MEPCO Finance Corporation ("MEPCO") captioned High v. The Choice		
22	Manufacturing Company, Inc., et al., Case No. CV-11-05478-EMC;		
23	WHEREAS the Parties previously stipulated to continue the deadline for Defendants to		
24	answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint to February 17, 2012;		
25	WHEREAS on February 17, 2012, MEPCO filed a motion to dismiss the Amended		
26	Complaint pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(a), 9(b) and 12(b)(6) ("Motion to		
27	Dismiss"), which is currently set for hearing on April 6, 2012 in this Court;		
28	WHEREAS pursuant to the briefing timelines outlined in the Local Civil Rules, Plaintiff		
	1217586.1	1	

filed an opposition to the Motion to Dismiss on March 2; WHEREAS the current deadline for MEPCO to file a reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss is March 9, 2012; WHEREAS MEPCO has requested and Plaintiff has agreed that MEPCO's deadline to file its reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss should be extended to March 16, 2012. The purpose of this stipulation is to permit MEPCO's counsel, who is currently engaged in a binding arbitration out of state, sufficient time to adequately prepare the reply; WHEREAS on February 21, 2012, Plaintiff and MEPCO stipulated to continue the Case Management Conference from March 2, 2012 to April 27, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. Pursuant to that stipulation, Plaintiff and MEPCO further agreed to continue their mutual obligations under F.R.C.P. 26, including the deadline to make initial disclosures. The stipulation indicated on page 2, lines 18-19, that the deadline to make initial disclosures was continued to April 20, 2013. This, however, was a typographical error as the parties intended to continue the deadline to make initial disclosures to April 20, 2012. Accordingly, Plaintiff and MEPCO hereby stipulate that MEPCO' deadline to file its reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss is March 16, 2012. Plaintiff and MEPCO further stipulate that the typographical error contained in the previous stipulation should be corrected to continue the deadline to make initial disclosures to April 20, 2012. /// /// 1//

1217586.1

1	IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED, by and between undersigned counsel for the	
2	parties, that MEPCO'S deadline to file its reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss should be	
3	extended to March 16, 2012. It is further stipulated that the typographical error contained in the	
4	previous stipulation should be corrected to continue the deadline to make initial disclosures to	
5	April 20, 2012.	
6		
7		
8	DATED: March 8, 2012 DOWNEY BRAND LLP	
9		
10	By:/s/ Spencer W. Christensen SPENCER W. CHRISTENSEN	
11	Attorney for Defendant MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION	
12		
13	DATED: March 8, 2012 THE MEHDI FIRM	
14		
15	By: /s/ Azra Mehdi AZRA MEHDI	
16	Attorney for Plaintiff JACKIE L. HIGH, individually	
17	and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated	
18	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 Section X(B), all signatories concur in filing this stipulation.	
19		
20	Dated: February 21, 2012 By: /s/ Spencer W. Christensen	
21		
22	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED TES DISTRICT	
24	Dated: 3/9/12	
25	Dated: 3/9/12 THE HONO IT IS SO ORDERED EN	
26		
27	Judge Edward M. Chen	
28		
	1217586.1 3	
I	DISTRICT	