1	VARNUM LLP PRION R. DOVLE (Michigan Bar No. D678)	70)	
2	BRION B. DOYLE (Michigan Bar No. P678' Bridgewater Place	70)	
3	P.O. Box 352 Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352		
4	(616) 336-6000 bbdoyle@varnumlaw.com		
5	Admitted Pro Hac Vice		
6	DOWNEY BRAND LLP JAMIE P. DREHER (Bar No. 209380)		
7	SPENCER W. CHRISTENSEN (Bar No. 267154) 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor		
	Sacramento, CA 95814-4731		
8	Telephone: (916) 444-1000 Facsimile: (916) 444-2100		
9	jdreher@downeybrand.com schristensen@downeybrand.com		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION and INDEPENDENT BANK CORPORATION		
12	INDEI ENDENT BANK CORI ORATION		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	JACKIE L. HIGH, et al. on Behalf of	Case No. CV 11 5478	
17	Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO	
18	Plaintiff,	RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT ; ORDER	
19	V.	, OKDEK	
20	THE CHOICE MANUFACTURING		
	COMPANY, INC., MEPCO FINANCE		
21	CORPORATION, and DOES 3 through 20, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule $6-1(a)$ and $7(1) - (3)$, Defendants MEPCO Finance		
25	Corporation ("MEPCO") and Independent Bank Corporation ("IBC"), by and through their		
26	respective counsel Downey Brand LLP, and Plaintiffs Jackie L. High, Travis Peavy and Loretta		
27	Alva ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their respective counsel The Mehdi Firm, hereby stipulate as		
28	follows:		
	1230093.1		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPON	ND TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT	

1	WHEREAS, on May 10, 2012, Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint ("SAC")		
2	against The Choice Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("Choice"), MEPCO, IBC, Peter Masi, Darain		
3	Atkinson and Cory Atkinson in the above-referenced matter;		
4	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served MEPCO with the SAC on May 10, 2012;		
5	WHEREAS, on May 11, 2012, counsel for plaintiffs requested waiver of service of		
6	process on IBC from counsel for MEPCO if they were also representing IBC, to which		
7	plaintiffs received no response and hence are in the process of executing service of		
8	process on IBS;		
9	WHEREAS, MEPCO currently has until May 29, 2012 to answer or respond to Plaintiffs'		
10	SAC;		
11	WHEREAS, MEPCO has requested and Plaintiffs have consented to an additional 10 days		
12	for MEPCO's answer or response to the SAC.		
13	WHEREAS, the extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline currently set		
14	by the Court;		
15	WHEREAS, notwithstanding the status of the service of process on IBC, MEPCO, IBC		
16	and Plaintiffs desire to stipulate to a briefing schedule should MEPCO and IBC respond to the		
17	SAC with a motion to dismiss;		
18	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between undersigned		
19	counsel for the parties, that MEPCO and IBC shall answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs'		
20	SAC by June 8, 2012.		
21	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by and between undersigned counsel for the parties, that		
22	if MEPCO and IBC respond by filing a motion to dismiss:		
23	(1) Plaintiffs Opposition Brief will be filed with the Court and served on MEPCO and		
24	IBC by July 6, 2012;		
25	(2) MEPCO and IBC's Reply Brief will be filed with the Court and served on Plaintiffs		
26	by July 20, 2012;		
27	(3) MEPCO, IBC and Plaintiffs will meet and confer with each other and agree upon a		
28	hearing date for any motion to dismiss.		
	1230093.1 2		

1	DATED: May 24, 2012	DOWNEY BRAND LLP	
2			
3		By: /s/ Spencer W. Christensen	
4		SPENCER W. CHRISTENSEN Attorney for Defendant MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION	
5		MEPCO FINANCE CORPORATION	
6	DATED: May 24, 2012	THE MEHDI FIRM	
7			
8		By: /s/ Azra Mehdi	
9		AZRA MEHDI Attorney for Plaintiffs	
10		JACKIE L. HIGH, TRAVIS PEAVY, and LORETTA ALVA individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated	
11		Similarly Situated	
12	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 Section X(B), all signatories concur in filing this		
13	stipulation.		
14			
15	Dated: May 23, 2012	By: /s/ Spencer W. Christensen	
16	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	IS SO ORDERED ATES DISTRICT	
18 19			
20		IT IS SO ORDERED	
20	June 1, 2012	5 TT IS SO	
22	Dated	Judge Edward M. Chen	
23		O Judge Lut	
24		THE ST	
25		DISTRICT OF CE	
26			
27			
28			
	1230093.1	3	
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT		