

1 RACHEL LEDERMAN, SBN 130192  
 Rachel Lederman & Alexis C. Beach,  
 2 Attorneys at Law  
 558 Capp Street  
 3 San Francisco, CA 94110  
 (415) 282-9300; fax (415) 285-5066  
 4 rlederman@2momslaw.com

5 *and additional counsel listed on pleadings*

6 Attorneys for plaintiffs Timothy Scott  
 Campbell et al.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 TIMOTHY SCOTT  
 CAMPBELL, et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.

14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.

15 Defendants.

No. CV11-05498 RS (LB)

**REQUEST TO RESCHEDULE SETTLEMENT  
 CONFERENCE : ORDER**

Complaint Filed: Nov. 14, 2011  
 Trial Date: May 28, 2013

17 Plaintiffs respectfully request to reschedule the Settlement Conference, currently  
 18 set for June 1, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable U.S. Magistrate Judge Beeler.  
 19 Plaintiffs are currently still determining whether it is necessary to name additional  
 20 government entity defendants, as well as additional plaintiffs, in an amended complaint.  
 21 Judge Seeborg has set a deadline of June 30, 2012, to add parties. A meaningful  
 22 settlement conference will require participation of all parties.

23 Defendants City of Oakland, et al., do not oppose this request. The parties request  
 24 that the court reschedule the settlement conference for any of the following dates:

26 *REQUEST TO RESCHEDULE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE*  
 27 *CAMPBELL, ET AL. V. CITY OF OAKLAND, CASE NO. CV11-05498 RS (LB)*

1 September 11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, or 27, or October 1-5, 2012.

2  
3 Dated: May 21, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

4 /S/  
5 Rachel Lederman  
6 Attorney for plaintiffs Campbell et al.

7 Dated: May 23, 2012

8 The Notice and Order Setting  
9 Settlement Conference on  
10 February 27, 2012, Docket No.60  
11 remains in affect.  
12 The Settlement Conference is reset  
13 to September 18, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.  
14 before Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler.



15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 *REQUEST TO RESCHEDULE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE*  
27 *CAMPBELL, ET AL. V. CITY OF OAKLAND, CASE NO. CV11-05498 RS (LB)*