

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 JOHN GIMBEL,

No. C 11-05503 WHA

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO RECONSIDER**

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

14 Defendants.
15 _____/

16 Pro se plaintiff recently filed a letter requesting “a full retake of events since last
17 scheduled appearance” (Dkt. No. 26). Although it is not entirely clear what plaintiff is
18 requesting in the letter, this order will construe it as a motion for reconsideration of a prior
19 dismissal order. This action was dismissed without prejudice for failure to serve and failure to
20 prosecute. Plaintiff’s letter offers only unpersuasive excuses for failing to serve and prosecute
21 this action. There is nothing in the letter to justify reconsideration of the dismissal order.
22 Therefore, plaintiff’s motion for reconsider is **DENIED**.

23
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: August 20, 2012.

27 
28 WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE