

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
11

12 **DANIEL TREVINO,**

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 **M. MARTEL, Warden , et al.,**

16 Defendants.  
17  
18  
19

C 11-5622 CRB (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR AN  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A  
DISPOSITIVE MOTION**

20 Defendants Cullen, Foss, Martel, Nollette, Sanders-Johnson, Van Blarcom, and Williams  
21 move for a fifty-five-day extension of time, up to and including July 19, 2012, in which to file a  
22 dispositive motion. The Court has read and considered Defendants' motion and the  
23 accompanying declaration of counsel and, good cause appearing,

24 IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' requested extension of time is GRANTED. The time in  
25 which Defendants may file a dispositive motion is extended up to and including July 19, 2012.  
26 Within thirty days after the dispositive motion is filed, Plaintiff shall file with the Court and serve  
27 on Defendants' counsel his opposition to the motion.  
28

1 Defendants shall file a reply brief to plaintiff's opposition within fifteen days after the  
2 opposition is filed.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4  
5 Dated: \_May 21, 2012

6  
7 SF2012203871  
20609882.doc

