

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JERRY HILL,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. C 11-05637 WHA

TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS NORTH
AMERICA, INC.; TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL,
INC.; TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL
COMPANY LIMITED; TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC.; TAKEDA
GLOBAL RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT
CENTER, INC.; TAKEDA SAN DIEGO,
INC.; and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,**ORDER RE ATTORNEY'S
FEES AND COSTS**

Defendants.

/

1. As recently held, plaintiff Jerry Hill is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs.

2. The following procedure will be used to determine the amount of an award herein. It will be structured to allow meaningful evaluation of the time expended.

3. No later than **JANUARY 31, 2012**, plaintiff's attorneys must file and serve a detailed declaration, organized by discrete projects, breaking down all attorney and paralegal time sought to be recovered. For each project, there must be a detailed description of the work, giving the date, hours expended, attorney name, and task for each work entry, in chronological order. A "project" means a deposition, a motion, a witness interview, and so forth. It does not

1 mean generalized statements like “hearing preparation.” It includes discrete items like “prepare
2 supplemental brief on issue X.” The following is an example of time collected by a project.

3 PROJECT: ABC DEPOSITION (2 DAYS IN FRESNO)

4	Date	Time-keeper	Description	Hours	x	Rate	=	Fee
5	6 01-08-01	7 XYZ	8 Assemble and photocopy exhibits for use in deposition.	2.0		\$100		\$200
9	10 01-09-01	11 RST	12 Review evidence and prepare to examine ABC at deposition.	4.5		\$200		\$900
13	14 01-10-01	15 XYZ	16 Research issue of work-product privilege asserted by deponent.	1.5		\$100		\$150
17	18 01-11-01	19 RST	20 Prepare for and take deposition.	8.5		\$200		\$1700
21	22 01-12-01	23 RST	24 Prepare for and take deposition.	7.0		\$200		<u>\$1400</u>
25	26	27	28 Project Total:		<u>23.5</u>			<u>\$4350</u>

13 4. All entries for a given project must be presented chronologically one after the
14 other, *i.e.*, uninterrupted by other projects, so that the timeline for each project can be readily
15 grasped. Entries can be rounded to the nearest quarter-hour and should be net of write-down for
16 inefficiency or other cause. Please show the sub-totals for hours and fees per project, as in the
17 example above, and show grand totals for all projects combined at the end. Include only entries
18 for which compensation is sought, *i.e.*, after application of “billing judgment.” For each
19 project, the declaration must further state, in percentage terms, the proportion of the project
20 directed at issues for which fees are awardable and must justify the percentage. This percentage
21 should then be applied against the project total to isolate the recoverable portion (a step not
22 shown in the example above).

23 5. A separate summary chart of total time and fees sought per individual
24 timekeeper (not broken down by project) should also be shown at the end of the declaration.
25 This cross-tabulation will help illuminate all timekeepers’ respective workloads and roles in the
26 overall case.

27 6. The declaration must also set forth (a) the qualifications, experience and role of
28 each attorney or paralegal for whom fees are sought; (b) the normal rate ordinarily charged for

1 each in the relevant time period; (c) how the rates were comparable to prevailing rates in the
2 community for like-skilled professionals; and (d) proof that “billing judgment” was exercised.
3 On the latter point, as before, the declaration should describe adjustments made to eliminate
4 duplication, excess, associate-turnover expense, and so forth. These adjustments need not be
5 itemized but totals for the amount deleted per timekeeper should be stated. The declaration
6 must identify the records used to compile the entries and, specifically, state whether and the
7 extent to which the records were contemporaneous versus retroactively prepared. It must state
8 the extent to which any entries include estimates (and what any estimates were based on).
9 Estimates and/or use of retroactively-made records may or may not be allowed, depending on
10 the facts and circumstances.

11 7. Ordinarily, no more than one attorney and one paralegal need be present at a
12 deposition; more will normally be deemed excessive. Ordinarily, no more than one attorney
13 need attend a law-and-motion hearing; more will normally be deemed excessive. To allow for
14 symmetry, however, the award will take into account the staffing used by the opposing party.

15 8. If the opposing party doubts the accuracy of the declaration, then the moving
16 party must immediately produce the original underlying time records for inspection upon
17 request. The opposing party must then file and serve any opposition. In this case, the
18 opposition will be due **FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS** after plaintiff’s detailed declaration is filed.
19 If the opposing party contends that any item or project was excessive, then the opposition must
20 explain why and provide a declaration setting forth completely all time expended by the
21 opposing party on the same and on similar projects, in the same format described above, so that
22 symmetry may be considered, making available the underlying records for inspection if
23 requested. If any billing rates are challenged, then the opposition must state the billing rates
24 charged to the opposing party for all professionals representing the opposing party in the case
25 and their experience levels. The opposing declaration must also state, as to each project, the
26 percentage of the project the opposition contends was directed at issues on which fees are
27 awardable, stating reasons for the percentage. This percentage should then be applied against
28 the project total to isolate the recoverable portion.

1 9. The opposing submissions may not simply attack the numbers in the application.
2 It must also set forth a counter-analysis. The counter-analysis should be in the same format
3 required of the applicant, arriving at a final number. The opposition must clearly identify each
4 line item in the application challenged as excessive, improper or otherwise unrecoverable and
5 explain why. The opposition, for example, may annotate (legible handwriting will be
6 acceptable) the applicant's declaration to isolate the precise numbers at issue.

7 10. With the benefit of both sides' filings, representatives of the parties with final
8 decision authority shall meet in person and confer to try to resolve all differences as to the
9 amount. If no agreement is reached, the moving party must file and serve a declaration showing
10 full compliance with this paragraph, explaining when, where and who met, their decision
11 authority, how long they met, what documents were reviewed together, and the principal points
12 of disagreement. This must be done within **28 CALENDAR DAYS** of the filing of movant's
13 detailed declaration.

14 11. If no agreement is reached, a special master shall be appointed. If the parties
15 cannot agree on a special master, then the Court shall select a special master. The parties must
16 so advise the Court on this within **28 CALENDAR DAYS** of the filing of movant's detailed
17 declaration.

18 12. The special master shall have all the powers set forth in FRCP 53(c) and
19 FRCP 54(d)(2)(D). The parties shall provide the special master with copies of all motion
20 papers and other documents relevant to this dispute. The special master shall review the briefs
21 and declarations by the parties on the pending motion, hear argument, and then determine a
22 reasonable amount to award, including any fees on fees. The special master shall also
23 determine the extent to which any discovery should be permitted — with the caution that further
24 discovery should be the exception and not the rule. The special master shall then prepare and
25 file a report on recommended findings and amount.

26 13. Absent any supplementation allowed by the special master, the foregoing
27 submissions (together with the briefs already filed) shall be the entire record for the motion.
28 There will be no replies unless allowed later by the special master. Any further submissions for

1 the special master's use should not be filed with the Court. If objections are later made to the
2 special master's report, the objecting party must file a declaration submitting to the Court a
3 complete appendix of relevant communications with the special master.

4 14. The Court will allocate the fees of the special master in a fair and reasonable
5 manner, taking into account the reasonableness of the parties' respective positions and the
6 special master's recommendation in this regard. If the movant must pay, then the special
7 master's compensation shall be *deducted* from the attorney's fee award. If the opposing party
8 must pay the special master, then it shall pay the special master *and* pay the award. The Court
9 will, however, reserve final judgment on allocation of the expense of the special master until a
10 final determination of the fee issue. A final award shall then be entered.


11 15. Costs will be determined in strict compliance with the local rules. If a review is
12 sought regarding taxable costs, then the issue may also be referred to a special master (or may
13 not).

14

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16

17 Dated: January 20, 2012.


18 WILLIAM ALSUP
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28