

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHEN DUANE CHIARA,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	No. C 11-5722 CRB (PR)
)	
v.)	ORDER OF SERVICE
)	
T. HAUGER, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	

Plaintiff, a prisoner at the Humboldt County Jail (HCJ) in Eureka, California, has filed a pro se Second Amended Complaint (SAC) under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that on three separate occasions mail room staff improperly refused his incoming mail on grounds that it was gang related.

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint "is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed, however. Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

1 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two
2 essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the
3 United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a
4 person acting under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48
5 (1988).

6 B. Legal Claims

7 It is well established that prisoners enjoy a First Amendment right to send
8 and receive mail. See Witherow v. Paff, 52 F.3d 264, 265 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing
9 Thornburgh v. Abbott, 490 U.S. 401, 407 (1989)). Liberally construed, plaintiff's
10 allegations that on three separate occasions mail room staff improperly refused
11 his incoming mail on grounds that it was gang related appears to state a
12 cognizable § 1983 claim for violation of the First Amendment against HCJ mail
13 room officers T. Hauger and S. Beck, and will be ordered served on these two
14 defendants.

15 But HCJ officers E. Wilkenson and K. Louie, who plaintiff names on the
16 theory that they are responsible for the overall operation of HCJ, are
17 DISMISSED because it is well established that there is no § 1983 liability under
18 such a theory, i.e., a theory of respondeat superior liability. See Taylor v. List,
19 880 F.2d 1040, 1045 (9th Cir. 1989) (under no circumstances is there liability
20 under § 1983 solely because one is responsible for the actions or omissions of
21 another).

22 **CONCLUSION**

23 For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

24 1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall
25 serve, without prepayment of fees, copies of the SAC in this matter, all
26 attachments thereto, and copies of this order on the following defendants at HCJ:
27
28

1 T. Hauger and S. Beck. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on
2 plaintiff.

3 2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as
4 follows:

5 a. No later than 90 days from the date of this order, defendants
6 shall serve and file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion.
7 A motion for summary judgment must be supported by adequate factual
8 documentation and must conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil
9 Procedure 56, and must include as exhibits all records and incident reports
10 stemming from the events at issue. A motion for summary judgment also must
11 be accompanied by a Rand notice so that plaintiff will have fair, timely and
12 adequate notice of what is required of him in order to oppose the motion. Woods
13 v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 935 (9th Cir. 2012) (notice requirement set out in Rand
14 v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998), must be served concurrently with
15 motion for summary judgment). A motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
16 available administrative remedies must be accompanied by a similar notice.
17 Stratton v. Buck, No. 10-35656, slip op. 11477, 11483 (9th Cir. Sept. 19, 2012);
18 Woods, 684 F.3d at 935 (notice requirement set out in Wyatt v. Terhune, 315
19 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2003), must be served concurrently with motion to dismiss
20 for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies).

21 If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by
22 summary judgment or other dispositive motion, they shall so inform the court
23 prior to the date their motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be
24 served promptly on plaintiff.

25 b. Plaintiff must serve and file an opposition or statement of
26 non-opposition to the dispositive motion not more than 28 days after the motion
27

1 is served and filed.

2 c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment
3 under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your
4 case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for
5 summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there
6 is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any
7 fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary
8 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.
9 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
10 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply
11 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in
12 declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,
13 as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown in the defendant's
14 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material
15 fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary
16 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is
17 granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand v. Rowland,
18 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App. A).

19 Plaintiff also is advised that a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
20 available administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if granted,
21 end your case, albeit without prejudice. You must "develop a record" and present
22 it in your opposition in order to dispute any "factual record" presented by the
23 defendants in their motion to dismiss. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120
24 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003). You have the right to present any evidence to show that you
25 did exhaust your available administrative remedies before coming to federal
26 court. Such evidence may include: (1) declarations, which are statements signed
27
28

1 under penalty of perjury by you or others who have personal knowledge of
2 relevant matters; (2) authenticated documents – documents accompanied by a
3 declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other
4 sworn papers such as answers to interrogatories or depositions; (3) statements in
5 your complaint insofar as they were made under penalty of perjury and they show
6 that you have personal knowledge of the matters state therein. In considering a
7 motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, the court can decide disputed issues of
8 fact with regard to this portion of the case. Stratton v. Buck, No. 10-35656, slip
9 op. 11477, 11483-84 (9th Cir. Sept. 19, 2012).

10 (The Rand and Wyatt/Stratton notices above do not excuse defendants'
11 obligation to serve said notices again concurrently with motions to dismiss for
12 failure to exhaust available administrative remedies and motions for summary
13 judgment. Woods, 684 F.3d at 935.)

14 d. Defendants must serve and file a reply to an opposition not
15 more than 14 days after the opposition is served and filed.

16 e. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the
17 reply is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a
18 later date.

19 3. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of
20 Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
21 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.


22 4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on
23 defendants, or defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing
24 a true copy of the document to defendants or defendants' counsel.

25 5. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
26 keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply
27

1 with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the
2 dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

3 SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: November 5, 2012



5 CHARLES R. BREYER
6 United States District Judge