Castagnola v. Hewlett-Packard Company et al

Doc. 9

1	Plaintiff Carol Hill Castagnola ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Hewlett-Packard
2	Company dba Snapfish.com ("Defendant"), by and through their attorneys of
3	record, in accordance with Civil L.R. 6-1(a), STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:
4	WHEREAS, Defendant was served with the Complaint on December 5,
5	2011;
6	WHEREAS, Defendant requests additional time to respond to the
7	Complaint;
8	WHEREAS, the time extension will not alter the date of any event or any
9	deadline already fixed by Court Order;
10	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY
11	AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES THAT the time for Defendant Hewlett-Packard
12	Company dba Snapfish.com to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint shall be extended
13	to January 26, 2012 to allow Defendant sufficient time to prepare a response.
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
15	
16	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD,
17	By: /s/ Thomas S. Peterson By: s/ Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld
18	THOMAS M. PETERSON Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett- JEFFREY M. ROSENFELD Attorneys for Plaintiff Carol Hill
19	Packard Company dba Snapfish.com Castagnola
20	Dated: 12/22/11 STATES DISTRICT CO.
21	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED S
23	Judge Joseph C. Spero
24	
25	DISTRICT OF CEN
2627	
28	
10	

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

1	ATTESTATION FURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45
2	I, THOMAS M. PETERSON, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used
3	to file this JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO THE
4	COMPLAINT . In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that the signatories
5	above have concurred in this efiling.
6	Executed on this 21st day of December 2011, at San Francisco, California.
7	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
8	/s/ Thomas M. Peterson
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
2 4 25	
25 26	
20 27	
28	