Belton v. Grounds et al Doc. 33

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWIGHT C. BELTON, P-04485, Plaintiff(s), No. C 11-6360 CRB (PR) ORDER v. R. GROUNDS, Warden, et al., (Docket # 29 & 30) Defendant(s).

Plaintiff's motion (docket # 29) for an order compelling answers to certain interrogatories is DENIED. The court will not entertain discovery motions unless the parties first comply with the meet-and-confer requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Local Rules. See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a) (motion to compel must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the party not making the disclosure in an effort to secure the disclosure without court action); Civ. L. R. 37-1 (same). In view of plaintiff's incarceration, the parties may satisfy the meet-and-confer requirements by letter or telephone conversation. Cf. Civil L. R. 1-5(n) ("meet and confer" or "confer" means to communicate directly and discuss in good faith the issue(s); mere sending of a written, electronic or voice-mail communication does not satisfy a requirement to "meet and confer" or to "confer;" requirement can be satisfied only through direct dialogue and discussion – either in a face to face meeting or in a telephone conversation).

1	And for essentially the same reasons, plaintiff's accompanying request
2	(docket # 30) for entry of default based on defendants' alleged failure to respond
3	to his interrogatories is DENIED without prejudice.
4	SO ORDERED.
5	DATED: August 10, 2012
6	CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

 $G: \label{eq:crbcr} $$G: \PRO-SE\CRB\CR.11\Belton, D.11-6360.or3.wpd$$