1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	RICHARD A. FRUSTERE, No. 11-6395 MMC
13	Plaintiff, ORDER RE: E-FILING; DIRECTIONS TO
14	v. PLAINTIFF
15	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,
16	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al., Defendants/
16 17	/
16 17 18	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that
16 17 18 19	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." ( <u>See</u> Order,
16 17 18 19 20	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that
16 17 18 19	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.)
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	Defendants. / On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Defendants. On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed orders an eight-page email, which appears to be directed to counsel for Wells Fargo Bank,
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Defendants. / On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed orders an eight-page email, which appears to be directed to counsel for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and which submission by email to the Court is in direct violation of the Court's March
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed orders an eight-page email, which appears to be directed to counsel for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and which submission by email to the Court is in direct violation of the Court's March 12, 2013 directive.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed orders an eight-page email, which appears to be directed to counsel for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and which submission by email to the Court is in direct violation of the Court's March 12, 2013 directive. Frustere is hereby ORDERED <u>not</u> to submit by email <u>any</u> further documents,
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed orders an eight-page email, which appears to be directed to counsel for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and which submission by email to the Court is in direct violation of the Court's March 12, 2013 directive. Frustere is hereby ORDERED <u>not</u> to submit by email <u>any</u> further documents, including copies of any emails sent to defendant's counsel.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	Defendants/ On March 12, 2013, the Court informed plaintiff Richard A. Frustere ("Frustere") that he is "not to submit by email any document other than a proposed order." (See Order, filed Mar. 12, 2013 at 2-3.) Thereafter, on April 5, 2013, Frustere sent to the Court's email address for proposed orders an eight-page email, which appears to be directed to counsel for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and which submission by email to the Court is in direct violation of the Court's March 12, 2013 directive. Frustere is hereby ORDERED not to submit by email any further documents, including copies of any emails sent to defendant's counsel. It is further ORDERED that if Frustere wishes to communicate with the Court, he

For the Northern District of California

1	opposing party, (3) a stipulation entered by both parties, or (4) a proposed form of order,
2	and shall do so only through the court's Electronic Case Filing system or by mailing a hard
3	copy to the Clerk of Court.
4	Frustere is hereby ADVISED that his failure to comply with the above order likely will
5	result in the imposition of monetary or other sanctions.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated: April 8, 2013
8	MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge
9	Onlied States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	-