

1 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP  
 2 Monty Agarwal (191568)  
 3 Rhonda S. Goldstein (250387)  
 4 Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor  
 5 San Francisco, California 94111  
 6 Telephone: 415.471.3100  
 7 Facsimile: 415.471.3400  
 8 E-Mail: monty.agarwal@aporter.com  
 9 E-Mail: rhonda.goldstein@aporter.com

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
 11 SAFEWAY INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 LAWRENCE BRANDON, on behalf of  
 16 himself and all others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 SAFEWAY INC., a Delaware corporation with  
 20 its Principal Place of Business in Pleasanton,  
 21 California.

22 Defendant.

Case No.: C 11-06614 SI

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES RE:  
 DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR  
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

1 WHEREAS, at the Initial Case Management Conference (“CMC”) held in this action on  
2 April 6, 2012, the Court set the following schedule for Defendant Safeway Inc’s Motion for  
3 Summary Judgment (“Motion”), as set forth in the Civil Pretrial Minutes issued on April 11, 2012  
4 (Dkt. No. 21):

- 5 • Filing date: September 7, 2012
- 6 • Opposition due: September 21, 2012
- 7 • Reply due: September 28, 2012
- 8 • Hearing date: October 12, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.;

9 WHEREAS, at the April 6 CMC Plaintiff Lawrence Brandon and Defendant Safeway Inc.  
10 (collectively, the “Parties”) discussed with the Court that the Parties were discussing a potential  
11 mediation of the action, and intended to seek a modification to the schedule for the Motion in the  
12 event that they were able to agree to mediate;

13 WHEREAS, the Parties have reached an agreement to mediate the action on June 22, 2012,  
14 and therefore wish to extend the briefing schedule for the Motion by a period of ninety (90) days;  
15 and

16 WHEREAS, the agreed-upon schedule is not for the purpose of delay, promotes judicial  
17 efficiency and will not cause prejudice to any party;

18 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties, through their  
19 respective counsel, subject to approval of the Court as follows:

20 1. The deadline by which Defendant must file the Motion is hereby extended up to and  
21 including December 6, 2012;

22 2. The deadline for Plaintiff’s opposition to the Motion is hereby extended up to and  
23 including December 20, 2012;

24 3. The deadline for Defendant’s reply to the Motion is extended up to and including  
25 ~~December 27, 2012,~~ January 4, 2013

26 4. The hearing on the Motion is hereby set for January 18, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., or as  
27 soon thereafter as the Court’s schedule may allow;

28 ///

